Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications SIGMA 11 (2015), 033, 32 pages

Cluster Variables on Certain Double Bruhat Cells of Type (u, e) and Monomial Realizations of Crystal Bases of Type A*

Yuki KANAKUBO and Toshiki NAKASHIMA

Division of Mathematics, Sophia University, Yonban-cho 4, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-0081, Japan
E-mail: *j_chi_sen_you_ky@sophia.ac.jp, toshiki@sophia.ac.jp*

Received October 01, 2014, in final form April 14, 2015; Published online April 23, 2015
http://dx.doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2015.033

Abstract. Let G be a simply connected simple algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$, B and B_- be two opposite Borel subgroups in G and W be the Weyl group. For $u, v \in W$, it is known that the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[G^{u,v}]$ of the double Bruhat cell $G^{u,v} = BuB \cap B_- vB_-$ is isomorphic to an upper cluster algebra $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{i})_{\mathbb{C}}$ and the generalized minors $\{\Delta(k;\mathbf{i})\}$ are the cluster variables belonging to a given initial seed in $\mathbb{C}[G^{u,v}]$ [Berenstein A., Fomin S., Zelevinsky A., *Duke Math. J.* **126** (2005), 1–52]. In the case $G = \mathrm{SL}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$, $v = e$ and some special $u \in W$, we shall describe the generalized minors $\{\Delta(k;\mathbf{i})\}$ as summations of monomial realizations of certain Demazure crystals.

Key words: cluster variables; double Bruhat cells; crystal bases; monomial realizations, generalized minors

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13F60; 81R50; 17B37

arXiv:1409.8622v2 [math.QA] 23 Apr 2015

1 Introduction

As is well-known that theory of cluster algebras has been initiated by S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky in the study of product expressions by q-commuting elements for upper global bases (= dual canonical bases). Crystal bases are obtained from global bases considering the parameter q at 0. Thus, we can guess that they should be deeply related each other at their origins.

Let G be a simply connected simple algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$ of rank r. Let B and B_- be two opposite Borel subgroups in G, $N \subset B$ and $N_- \subset B_-$ their unipotent radicals, $H := B \cap B_-$ a maximal torus, and W the associated Weyl group. In [1], it is shown that for $u, v \in W$ the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[G^{u,v}]$ of double Bruhat cell $G^{u,v} := BuB \cap B_- vB_-$ has the structure of an upper cluster algebra. The initial cluster variables of this upper cluster algebras are given as certain generalized minors on $G^{u,v}$.

In [11], the second author revealed the relations between some generalized minors and monomial realizations of crystal bases. A naive definition of monomial realizations of crystal bases is as follows (see Section 3 for the exact definitions): Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the set of monomials in infinitely many variables (see Section 3, equation (3.2)). We shall define the crystal structures on $\mathcal{Y}$ associated with certain set of integers $p = (p_{i,j})_{1 \le i \ne j \le r}$ and a Cartan matrix. And we can obtain a crystal for an irreducible module as a connected component of $\mathcal{Y}$. For example, for type A_4 and $p_{i,j} = 1$ if $i < j$ and $p_{i,j} = 0$ if $i > j$, we have the following crystal graph of the crystal $B(\Lambda_3)$, where Λ_3 is the 3^{rd} fundamental weight. The set of integers p gives the cyclic sequence of indices

*This paper is a contribution to the Special Issue on New Directions in Lie Theory. The full collection is available at http://www.emis.de/journals/SIGMA/LieTheory2014.html

$\dots 123412341234 \dots$ and we associate variables $\{\tau_j\}$ as follows

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccc} \dots & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \dots \\ \dots & \tau_{-4} & \tau_{-3} & \tau_{-2} & \tau_{-1} & \tau_1 & \tau_2 & \tau_3 & \tau_4 & \tau_5 & \tau_6 & \tau_7 & & \tau_8 & \tau_9 & & \tau_{10} & \dots \end{array}$$

Note that the skip between τ_7 and τ_8 or τ_9 and τ_{10} means no corresponding variable appears in the following crystal graph



(1.1)

where the highest weight monomial τ_{-2} has a weight Λ_3 and the lowest weight monomial $\frac{1}{\tau_9}$ has a weight $-\Lambda_2$.

As stated above, the initial cluster variables on $G^{u,v}$ are expressed by generalized minors $\{\Delta(k;\mathbf{i}) \,|\, 1 \le k \le l(u)+l(v)\}$, where $\mathbf{i}$ is the reduced expression of $(u,v) \in W \times W$. Now, as an example we consider the case $G = \mathrm{SL}_5(\mathbb{C})$ as above. Let $W = \mathfrak{S}_5 = \langle s_i \,|\, 1 \le i \le 4\rangle$ be the symmetric group and set $u := s_1s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2s_3s_1s_2s_1$, $v := e$, and set a reduced word $\mathbf{i}$ for u as $\mathbf{i} := (1,2,3,4,1,2,3,1,2,1)$. We have for $x = (x_{i,j}) \in \mathrm{SL}_5(\mathbb{C})$

$$\Delta(6;\mathbf{i})(x) = \begin{vmatrix} x_{31} & x_{32} \\ x_{41} & x_{42} \end{vmatrix} = x_{31}x_{42} - x_{32}x_{41}. \tag{1.2}$$

See Section 5 for the detailed explanation.

Now, let us consider the generalized minors on $L^{u,v} := NuN \cap B_-vB_-$ instead of $G^{u,v}$ since their difference is, indeed, only the factor from the torus part. We call $L^{u,v}$ reduced double Bruhat cell. For the above u, v, there exists a birational map $\mathbf{x}_\mathbf{i}^L : (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{10} \xrightarrow{\sim} L^{u,v}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{x}_\mathbf{i}^L(\tau_1,\dots,\tau_{10}) \\ &\quad = x_{-1}(\tau_1)x_{-2}(\tau_2)x_{-3}(\tau_3)x_{-4}(\tau_4)x_{-1}(\tau_5)x_{-2}(\tau_6)x_{-3}(\tau_7)x_{-1}(\tau_8)x_{-2}(\tau_9)x_{-1}(\tau_{10}) \\ &\quad = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\tau_1\tau_5\tau_8\tau_{10}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A & \frac{\tau_1\tau_5\tau_8\tau_{10}}{\tau_2\tau_6\tau_9} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B & C & \frac{\tau_2\tau_6\tau_9}{\tau_3\tau_7} & 0 & 0 \\ D & E & \frac{\tau_3\tau_9}{\tau_4} + \frac{\tau_6\tau_9}{\tau_7} & \frac{\tau_3\tau_7}{\tau_4} & 0 \\ 1 & \tau_{10} & \tau_9 & \tau_7 & \tau_4 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{\tau_1\tau_5\tau_8}{\tau_2\tau_6\tau_9} + \frac{\tau_1\tau_5}{\tau_2\tau_6\tau_{10}} + \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2\tau_8\tau_{10}} + \frac{1}{\tau_5\tau_8\tau_{10}}, \\ B &= \frac{\tau_2\tau_6}{\tau_3\tau_7} + \frac{\tau_2\tau_8}{\tau_3\tau_9} + \frac{\tau_5\tau_8}{\tau_6\tau_9} + \frac{\tau_2}{\tau_3\tau_{10}} + \frac{\tau_5}{\tau_6\tau_{10}} + \frac{1}{\tau_8\tau_{10}}, \\ C &= \frac{\tau_2\tau_6\tau_{10}}{\tau_3\tau_7} + \frac{\tau_2\tau_8\tau_{10}}{\tau_3\tau_9} + \frac{\tau_5\tau_8\tau_{10}}{\tau_6\tau_9}, \\ D &= \frac{\tau_3}{\tau_4} + \frac{\tau_6}{\tau_7} + \frac{\tau_8}{\tau_9} + \frac{1}{\tau_{10}}, \qquad E = \frac{\tau_3\tau_{10}}{\tau_4} + \frac{\tau_6\tau_{10}}{\tau_7} + \frac{\tau_8\tau_{10}}{\tau_9}, \end{aligned}$$

$$x_{-i}(t) = \overset{i^{\text{th}}}{\begin{pmatrix} \ddots & & & \\ & t^{-1} & 0 & \\ & 1 & t & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}}.$$

Therefore, by (1.2) and (1.3) we find

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^L(6;\mathbf{i})(\tau) &:= \left(\Delta(6;\mathbf{i}) \circ x_{\mathbf{i}}^L\right)(\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_{10}) = \begin{vmatrix} B & C \\ D & E \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{\tau_2}{\tau_4} + \frac{\tau_3\tau_5}{\tau_4\tau_6} + \frac{\tau_5}{\tau_7} + \frac{\tau_3}{\tau_4\tau_8} + \frac{\tau_6}{\tau_7\tau_8} + \frac{1}{\tau_9}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

Now, observing the crystal graph (1.1) and the Laurent polynomial (1.4), we realize that each term in (1.4) appears in (1.1) and they constitute so-called lower Demazure crystal associated with the element $u_{\leq 6} \in \mathfrak{S}_5$ [7].

Those facts motivate us to find a new linkage between the cluster variables on $L^{u,v} \subset G^{u,v}$ and the monomial realizations of crystals.

In this paper, we shall treat the case $G = \mathrm{SL}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$, $v = e$ and some special $u \in W = \mathfrak{S}_{r+1}$. More precisely, we treat an element $u \in W$ whose reduced word (Definition 2.1) can be written as a left factor of the standard longest word $(1,2,3,\ldots,r,1,2,3,\ldots,(r-1),\ldots,1,2,1)$:

$$u = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_r s_1 \cdots s_{r-1} \cdots s_1 \cdots s_{r-m+2} s_1 \cdots s_{i_n},$$

where $n := l(u)$ is the length of u and $1 \leq i_n \leq r-m+1$. And we treat (reduced) double Bruhat cells of the form $G^{u,e} := BuB \cap B_-$ and $L^{u,e} := NuN \cap B_-$, where B (resp. B_-) is the subgroup of upper (resp. lower) triangular matrices in $G = \mathrm{SL}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$. Then generalized minors are a part of classical minors (Definition 4.10). This case matches well to the Demazure crystals. In fact, we shall describe generalized minors in terms of summations over certain monomial realizations of Demazure crystals in the main result Theorem 5.6. For example, (1.4) shows that the generalized minor $\Delta^L(6;\mathbf{i})(\tau)$ is described in terms of summation over certain monomial realization of the Demazure crystal $B^-_{u\leq 6}(-\Lambda_2)$.

In forthcoming paper, we shall treat more general setting, like as, the Weyl group element $v \in W$ is non-identity or type C. In these cases, the generalized minors are described also by monomial realizations of crystals.

2 Factorization theorem for type A

In this section, we shall introduce (reduced) double Bruhat cells $G^{u,v}$, $L^{u,v}$, and their properties in the case $G = \mathrm{SL}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$, $v = e$ and some special $u \in W$. In [2, 3], these properties had been proven for simply connected, connected, semisimple complex algebraic groups and arbitrary $u, v \in W$.

For $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we set $[1,l] := \{1,2,3,\ldots,l\}$.

2.1 Double Bruhat cells

Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$ be the simple complex algebraic group of type A_r, B and B_- be two opposite Borel subgroups in G, that is, B (resp. B_-) is the subgroup of upper (resp. lower) triangular matrices in $G = \mathrm{SL}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $N \subset B$ and $N_- \subset B_-$ be their unipotent radicals, $H := B \cap B_-$ a maximal torus, and $W := \mathrm{Norm}_G(H)/H$ the Weyl group. In this case, Weyl group W is isomorphic to the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{r+1}$.

We have two kinds of Bruhat decompositions of G as follows

$$G = \coprod_{u \in W} BuB = \coprod_{u \in W} B_- u B_-.$$

Then, for u, $v \in W$, we define the *double Bruhat cell* $G^{u,v}$ as follows

$$G^{u,v} := BuB \cap B_- v B_-.$$

This is biregularly isomorphic to a Zariski open subset of an affine space of dimension $r + l(u) + l(v)$ [3, Theorem 1.1].

We also define the *reduced double Bruhat cell* $L^{u,v}$ as follows

$$L^{u,v} := NuN \cap B_- v B_- \subset G^{u,v}.$$

As is similar to the case $G^{u,v}$, $L^{u,v}$ is biregularly isomorphic to a Zariski open subset of an affine space of dimension $l(u) + l(v)$ [2, Proposition 4.4].

Definition 2.1. Let $u = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_n}$ be a reduced expression of $u \in W$, $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in [1, r]$. Then the finite sequence

$$\mathbf{i} := (i_1, \ldots, i_n)$$

is called *reduced word* $\mathbf{i}$ for u.

In this paper, we treat (reduced) double Bruhat cells of the form $G^{u,e} := BuB \cap B_-$ and $L^{u,e} := NuN \cap B_-$, where $u \in W$ is an element whose reduced word can be written as a left factor of $(1, 2, 3, \ldots, r, 1, 2, 3, \ldots, (r-1), \ldots, 1, 2, 1)$:

$$u = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_r s_1 \cdots s_{r-1} \cdots s_1 \cdots s_{r-m+2} s_1 \cdots s_{i_n}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $n := l(u)$ is the length of u and $1 \leq i_n \leq r - m + 1$. Let $\mathbf{i}$ be a reduced word of u:

$$\mathbf{i} = (\underbrace{1, \ldots, r}_{1^{\text{st}}\text{ cycle}}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, (r-1)}_{2^{\text{nd}}\text{ cycle}}, \ldots, \underbrace{1, \ldots, (r-m+2)}_{(m-1)^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, i_n}_{m^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}}). \tag{2.2}$$

Note that $(1, 2, 3, \ldots, r, 1, 2, 3, \ldots, (r-1), \ldots, 1, 2, 1)$ is a reduced word of the longest element in W.

2.2 Factorization theorem for type A

In this subsection, we shall introduce the isomorphisms between double Bruhat cell $G^{u,e}$ and $H \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{l(u)}$, and between $L^{u,e}$ and $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{l(u)}$. As in the previous section, we consider the case $G := \mathrm{SL}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$. We set $\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ with the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$. Let e_i, f_i ($i \in [1, r]$) be the generators of $\mathfrak{n}$, $\mathfrak{n}_-$. For $i \in [1, r]$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}$, we set $x_i(t) := \exp(te_i)$, $y_i := \exp(tf_i)$. Let $\varphi_i : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to G$ be the canonical embedding corresponding to each simple root α_i. Then we have

$$x_i(t) = \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad y_i(t) = \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can express $x_i(t)$, $y_i(t)$, as the following matrices

$$x_i(t) = \overset{i^{\text{th}}}{\begin{pmatrix} \ddots & & & \\ & 1 & t & \\ & 0 & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}}, \qquad y_i(t) = \overset{i^{\text{th}}}{\begin{pmatrix} \ddots & & & \\ & 1 & 0 & \\ & t & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}}. \tag{2.3}$$

For a reduced word $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$, we define a map $x_{\mathbf{i}}^G : H \times \mathbb{C}^n \to G$ as

$$x_{\mathbf{i}}^G(a; t_1, \dots t_n) := a \cdot y_{i_1}(t_1) y_{i_2}(t_2) \cdots y_{i_n}(t_n).$$

Theorem 2.2 ([3, Theorem 1.2]). *We set $u \in W$ and its reduced word $\mathbf{i}$ as in (2.1) and (2.2). The map $x_{\mathbf{i}}^G$ defined above can be restricted to a biregular isomorphism between $H \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{l(u)}$ and a Zariski open subset of $G^{u,e}$.*

Next, for $i \in [1, r]$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, we define as follows

$$\alpha_i^\vee(t) := \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad x_{-i}(t) := y_i(t)\alpha_i^\vee(t^{-1}) = \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} & 0 \\ 1 & t \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can express $x_{-i}(t)$ and $\alpha_i^\vee(t)$ as the following matrices

$$x_{-i}(t) = \begin{matrix} \\ \\ i^{\text{th}} \\ \\ \\ \end{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & & & & \\ & t^{-1} & 0 & & \\ & 1 & t & & \\ & & & \ddots & \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \alpha_i^\vee(t) = \operatorname{diag}(1, \dots, 1, \overset{i}{\overset{\vee}{t}}, \overset{i+1}{\overset{\vee}{t^{-1}}}, 1, \dots, 1). \tag{2.4}$$

For $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ $(i_1, \dots, i_n \in [1, r])$, we define a map $x_{\mathbf{i}}^L : \mathbb{C}^n \to G$ as

$$x_{\mathbf{i}}^L(t_1, \dots, t_n) := x_{-i_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{-i_n}(t_n).$$

We have the following theorem which is similar to the previous one.

Theorem 2.3 ([2, Proposition 4.5]). *We set $u \in W$ and its reduced word $\mathbf{i}$ as in (2.1) and (2.2). The map $x_{\mathbf{i}}^L$ defined above can be restricted to a biregular isomorphism between $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{l(u)}$ and a Zariski open subset of $L^{u,e}$.*

Finally, we define a map $\bar{x}_{\mathbf{i}}^G : H \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \to G^{u,v}$ as

$$\bar{x}_{\mathbf{i}}^G(a; t_1, \dots, t_n) = a x_{\mathbf{i}}^L(t_1, \dots, t_n).$$

Proposition 2.4. *In the above setting, the map $\bar{x}_{\mathbf{i}}^G$ is a biregular isomorphism between $H \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ and a Zariski open subset of $G^{u,e}$.*

Proof. We set $l_0 := 0$, $l_1 := r$, $l_2 := r + (r-1), \dots, l_m := r + (r-1) + \cdots + (r-m+1)$. We define a map $\phi : H \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \to H \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$, $\mathbf{t} = (a; t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto (a(\mathbf{t}); \tau_1(\mathbf{t}), \dots, \tau_n(\mathbf{t}))$ as

$$a(\mathbf{t}) = a \cdot \underbrace{\alpha_1^\vee(t_1)^{-1} \cdots \alpha_r^\vee(t_r)^{-1}}_{1^{\text{st}} \text{ cycle}} \cdots \underbrace{\alpha_1^\vee(t_{l_{m-1}+1})^{-1} \cdots \alpha_{i_n}^\vee(t_{l_{m-1}+i_n})^{-1}}_{m^{\text{th}} \text{ cycle}},$$

$$\tau_{l_s+j}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{(t_{l_{s+1}+j-1} t_{l_{s+2}+j-1} \cdots t_{l_{m-1}+j-1})(t_{l_s+j+1} t_{l_{s+1}+j+1} \cdots t_{l_{m-1}+j+1})}{t_{l_s+j}(t_{l_{s+1}+j} \cdots t_{l_{m-1}+j})^2}, \tag{2.5}$$

where in (2.5), if $\mathbf{i}$ does not include j (resp. $j+1$, $j-1$) in ζ^{th} cycle then we set $t_{l_{\zeta-1}+j} = 1$ (resp. $t_{l_{\zeta-1}+j+1} = 1$, $t_{l_{\zeta-1}+j-1} = 1$). This is a biregular isomorphism.

Let us prove

$$\bar{x}_{\mathbf{i}}^G(a; t_1, \dots, t_n) = \big(x_{\mathbf{i}}^G \circ \phi\big)(a; t_1, \dots, t_n),$$

which implies that $\bar{x}_{\mathbf{i}}^G : H \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n \to G^{u,e}$ is a biregular isomorphism by Theorem 2.2.

First, we can verify the following relations by the explicit forms (2.3), (2.4) and direct calculations:

$$\alpha_i^\vee(c)^{-1}y_j(t) = \begin{cases} y_i(c^2t)\alpha_i^\vee(c)^{-1} & \text{if} \quad i=j, \\ y_j(c^{-1}t)\alpha_i^\vee(c)^{-1} & \text{if} \quad |i-j|=1, \\ y_j(t)\alpha_i^\vee(c)^{-1} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

for $1 \le i,\, j \le r$ and $c, t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.

On the other hand, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(x_{\mathbf{i}}^G \circ \phi)(a;t_1,\dots,t_n) &= a \times \alpha_1^\vee(t_1)^{-1}\cdots\alpha_r^\vee(t_r)^{-1}\cdots\alpha_1^\vee(t_{l_{m-1}+1})^{-1}\cdots\alpha_{i_n}^\vee(t_{l_{m-1}+i_n})^{-1} \\ &\quad \times y_1(\tau_1(\mathbf{t}))y_2(\tau_2(\mathbf{t}))\cdots y_r(\tau_r(\mathbf{t}))\cdots y_1(\tau_{l_{m-1}+1}(\mathbf{t}))\cdots y_{i_n}(\tau_{l_{m-1}+i_n}(\mathbf{t})).\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

For each s and j, let us move $\alpha_j^\vee(t_{l_s+j})^{-1}$, $\alpha_{j+1}^\vee(t_{l_s+j+1})^{-1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_n}^\vee(t_{l_{m-1}+i_n})^{-1}$ to the right of $y_j(\tau_{l_s+j}(\mathbf{t}))$ by using the relations (2.6). For example,

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_j^\vee(t_{l_s+j})^{-1}\cdots\alpha_{j-1}^\vee(t_{l_{m-1}+j-1})^{-1}\alpha_j^\vee(t_{l_{m-1}+j})^{-1} \\ &\qquad \times \alpha_{j+1}^\vee(t_{l_{m-1}+j+1})^{-1}\cdots\alpha_{i_n}^\vee(t_{l_{m-1}+i_n})^{-1}y_j(\tau_{l_s+j}(\mathbf{t})) \\ &\quad = \alpha_j^\vee(t_{l_s+j})^{-1}\cdots y_j\left(\frac{t_{l_{m-1}+j}^2}{t_{l_{m-1}+j-1}t_{l_{m-1}+j+1}}\tau_{l_s+j}(\mathbf{t})\right)\alpha_{j-1}^\vee(t_{l_{m-1}+j-1})^{-1} \\ &\qquad \times \alpha_j^\vee(t_{l_{m-1}+j})^{-1}\alpha_{j+1}^\vee(t_{l_{m-1}+j+1})^{-1}\cdots\alpha_{i_n}^\vee(t_{l_{m-1}+i_n})^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Repeating this argument, we have

$$= y_j\left(\frac{(t_{l_s+j}\cdots t_{l_{m-1}+j})^2}{(t_{l_s+j-1}\cdots t_{l_{m-1}+j-1})(t_{l_s+j+1}\cdots t_{l_{m-1}+j+1})}\tau_{l_s+j}(\mathbf{t})\right)\alpha_j^\vee(t_{l_s+j})^{-1}\cdots\alpha_{i_n}^\vee(t_{l_{m-1}+i_n})^{-1}.$$

Note that $\frac{(t_{l_s+j}\cdots t_{l_{m-1}+j})^2}{(t_{l_s+j-1}\cdots t_{l_{m-1}+j-1})(t_{l_s+j+1}\cdots t_{l_{m-1}+j+1})}\tau_{l_s+j}(\mathbf{t}) = t_{l_s+j}$. By (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\big(x_{\mathbf{i}}^G \circ \phi\big)(a;t_1,\dots,t_n) &= a\cdot y_1(t_1)\alpha_1^\vee(t_1)^{-1}\cdots y_r(t_r)\alpha_r^\vee(t_r)^{-1}\cdots \\ &\quad \times y_1(t_{l_{m-1}+1})\alpha_1^\vee(t_{l_{m-1}+1})^{-1}\cdots y_{i_n}(t_{l_{m-1}+i_n})\alpha_{i_n}^\vee(t_{l_{m-1}+i_n})^{-1} \\ &= a\cdot x_{-1}(t_1)\cdots x_{-r}(t_r)\cdots x_{-1}(t_{l_{m-1}+1})\cdots x_{-i_n}(t_{l_{m-1}+i_n}) = \bar{x}_{\mathbf{i}}^G(a;t_1,\dots,t_n).\end{aligned}$$ ■

3 Monomial realizations of crystal bases

In this section, we review the monomial realizations of crystals [6, 8, 10]. Let $I := \{1,2,\dots,r\}$ be a finite index set.

3.1 Monomial realizations of crystal bases for type A

Definition 3.1. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ be the Cartan matrix of type A_r: $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ is defined as

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if} \quad i=j, \\ -1 & \text{if} \quad |i-j|=1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\Pi = \{\alpha_i \,|\, i \in I\}$ (resp. $\Pi^\vee = \{h_i \,|\, i \in I\}$) be the set of simple roots (resp. co-roots), and P be the weight lattice. A *crystal* associated with the Cartan matrix A is a set B together with the maps $\mathrm{wt} : B \to P$, $\tilde{e}_i$, $\tilde{f}_i : B \cup \{0\} \to B \cup \{0\}$ and ε_i, $\varphi_i : B \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$, $i \in I$, satisfying the following properties: For $b \in B$, $i \in I$,

(i) $\varphi_i(b) - \varepsilon_i(b) = \langle h_i, \mathrm{wt}(b)\rangle$,
(ii) $\mathrm{wt}(\tilde{e}_i b) = \mathrm{wt}(b) + \alpha_i$, if $\tilde{e}_i b \in B$,
(iii) $\mathrm{wt}(\tilde{f}_i b) = \mathrm{wt}(b) - \alpha_i$, if $\tilde{f}_i b \in B$,
(iv) $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{e}_i b) = \varepsilon_i(b) - 1$, $\varphi_i(\tilde{e}_i b) = \varphi_i(b) + 1$ if $\tilde{e}_i b \in B$,
(v) $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{f}_i b) = \varepsilon_i(b) + 1$, $\varphi_i(\tilde{f}_i b) = \varphi_i(b) - 1$ if $\tilde{f}_i b \in B$,
(vi) $\tilde{f}_i b = b' \Leftrightarrow b = \tilde{e}_i b'$, if $b, b' \in B$,
(vii) $\varphi_i(b) = -\infty$, $b \in B$, $\Rightarrow \tilde{e}_i b = \tilde{f}_i b = 0$.

Let $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the universal enveloping algebra associated with the Cartan matrix A in (3.1), and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $B^+(\lambda)$ (resp. $B^-(\lambda)$) be the crystal base of the $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-highest (resp. lowest) weight module [5, 9]. Note that $B^+(\lambda) = B^-(w_0\lambda)$, where w_0 is the longest element of W. In particular, in the case $\lambda = M\Lambda_d$, $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we have

$$B^+(M\Lambda_d) = B^-(-M\Lambda_{r-d+1}).$$

Let us introduce monomial realizations which realize each element of $B^{\pm}(\lambda)$ as a certain Laurent monomial.

First, we define a set of integers $p = (p_{j,i})_{j,i\in I,\, j\neq i}$ such that

$$p_{j,i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad j < i, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad i < j. \end{cases}$$

Second, for doubly-indexed variables $\{Y_{s,i} \,|\, i \in I,\, s \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, we define the set of monomials

$$\mathcal{Y} := \left\{ Y = \prod_{s\in\mathbb{Z},\ i\in I} Y_{s,i}^{\zeta_{s,i}} \,\middle|\, \zeta_{s,i} \in \mathbb{Z},\ \zeta_{s,i} = 0 \text{ except for finitely many } (s,i) \right\}. \tag{3.2}$$

Finally, we define maps $\mathrm{wt} : \mathcal{Y} \to P$, ε_i, $\varphi_i : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{Z}$, $i \in I$. For $Y = \prod_{s\in\mathbb{Z},\ i\in I} Y_{s,i}^{\zeta_{s,i}} \in \mathcal{Y}$,

$$\mathrm{wt}(Y) := \sum_{i,s} \zeta_{s,i}\Lambda_i, \qquad \varphi_i(Y) := \max\left\{ \sum_{k\le s} \zeta_{k,i} \,|\, s \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \qquad \varepsilon_i(Y) := \varphi_i(Y) - \mathrm{wt}(Y)(h_i).$$

We set

$$A_{s,i} := Y_{s,i}Y_{s+1,i}\prod_{j\neq i} Y_{s+p_{j,i},j}^{a_{j,i}} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{Y_{s,1}Y_{s+1,1}}{Y_{s,2}} & \text{if} \quad i = 1, \\ \dfrac{Y_{s,i}Y_{s+1,i}}{Y_{s,i+1}Y_{s+1,i-1}} & \text{if} \quad 2 \le i \le r-1, \\ \dfrac{Y_{s,r}Y_{s+1,r}}{Y_{s+1,r-1}} & \text{if} \quad i = r, \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

and define the Kashiwara operators as follows

$$\tilde{f}_i Y = \begin{cases} A_{n_{f_i},i}^{-1} Y & \text{if} \quad \varphi_i(Y) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad \varphi_i(Y) = 0, \end{cases} \qquad \tilde{e}_i Y = \begin{cases} A_{n_{e_i},i} Y & \text{if} \quad \varepsilon_i(Y) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad \varepsilon_i(Y) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$n_{f_i} := \min\left\{ n \,\middle|\, \varphi_i(Y) = \sum_{k\le n} \zeta_{k,i} \right\}, \qquad n_{e_i} := \max\left\{ n \,\middle|\, \varphi_i(Y) = \sum_{k\le n} \zeta_{k,i} \right\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Then the following theorem holds:

Theorem 3.2 ([8, 10])**.**

(i) For the set $p = (p_{j,i})$ as above, $(\mathcal{Y}, wt, \varphi_i, \varepsilon_i, \tilde{f}_i, \tilde{e}_i)_{i\in I}$ is a crystal. When we emphasize p, we write $\mathcal{Y}$ as $\mathcal{Y}(p)$.

(ii) If a monomial $Y \in \mathcal{Y}(p)$ satisfies $\varepsilon_i(Y) = 0$ (resp. $\varphi_i(Y) = 0$) for all $i \in I$, then the connected component containing Y is isomorphic to $B^+(wt(Y))$ (resp. $B^-(wt(Y))$).

Definition 3.3. Let $Y \in \mathcal{Y}(p)$ be a monomial and let $\mathbb{B}$ be the unique connected component in $\mathcal{Y}(p)$ including Y. Suppose that λ is the highest (resp. lowest) weight of $\mathbb{B}$. We denote the embedding

$$\mu_Y :\ B^+(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B} \subset \mathcal{Y}(p) \qquad (\text{resp. } \mu_Y :\ B^-(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}).$$

Note that if Y and Y' are in the same component then $\mu_Y = \mu_{Y'}$.

Remark 3.4. The actions of $\tilde{e}_i$ and $\tilde{f}_i$ on Y are determined by $\mathrm{wt}(Y)(h_i)$, $\varphi_i(Y)$ and $\varepsilon_i(Y)$, which are determined by the factors $Y_{s,i}^{\pm 1}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, when we consider the actions of $\tilde{e}_i$ and $\tilde{f}_i$, we need to see the factors $\{Y_{s,i}^{\pm 1}\}_{s\in\mathbb{Z}}$ only.

Example 3.5. For $\lambda = \beta\Lambda_d$ (resp. $\lambda = -\beta\Lambda_d$), $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $d \in I$, we can embed $B^+(\lambda)$ (resp. $B^-(\lambda)$) in $\mathcal{Y}$ as a crystal by

$$v_\lambda \mapsto Y_{\beta+\gamma,d}Y_{\beta-1+\gamma,d}\cdots Y_{1+\gamma,d}, \qquad \left(\text{resp. } v_\lambda \mapsto \frac{1}{Y_{\beta+\gamma,d}Y_{\beta-1+\gamma,d}\cdots Y_{1+\gamma,d}}\right),$$

where v_λ is the highest (resp. lowest) weight vector of $B^+(\lambda)$ (resp. $B^-(\lambda)$), and γ is an arbitrary integer. For $Y^+ := Y_{\beta+\gamma,d}Y_{\beta-1+\gamma,d}\cdots Y_{1+\gamma,d}$ (resp. $Y^- := \frac{1}{Y_{\beta+\gamma,d}Y_{\beta-1+\gamma,d}\cdots Y_{1+\gamma,d}}$), μ_{Y^+} (resp. μ_{Y^-}) denotes the embedding in Definition 3.3. Then Y^+ (resp. Y^-) is the highest (resp. lowest) weight vector in $\mu_{Y^+}(B^+(\lambda))$ (resp. $\mu_{Y^-}(B^-(\lambda))$).

We set $l_0 := 0$, $l_1 := r$, $l_2 := r + (r-1), \ldots, l_s := r + (r-1) + \cdots + (r-s+1), \ldots,$ $l_r := r + (r-1) + \cdots + 2 + 1$ and changing the variables $Y_{s,j}$ to τ_{l_s+j}, $1 \le j \le r-s$. For $s < 0$, we transform the variables $Y_{s,j}$ to $\tau_{-(r+1-j)}$, $1 \le j \le r$,

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} \ldots & r & 1 & \ldots & r-1 & r & 1 & \ldots & r-1 & r & 1 & 2 & \ldots \\ \ldots & \tau_{-1} & \tau_{l_0+1} & \ldots & \tau_{l_0+r-1} & \tau_{l_0+r} & \tau_{l_1+1} & \ldots & \tau_{l_1+r-1} & & \tau_{l_2+1} & \tau_{l_2+2} & \ldots \end{array}$$

Remark 3.6. In the above setting, the variables $\{Y_{s,j} \,|\, r-s < j\}$ do not correspond to any variables in τ. As we have seen in (1.1), these variables do not appear in the crystal base which we treat in this paper. In other words, we only need variables associated with

$$\mathbf{j} = (1, \ldots, r, 1, \ldots, r-1, \ldots, 1, 2, 1),$$

which coincides with a specific reduced word of the longest element of W.

Remark 3.7. For the variables τ_{l_s+0}, τ_{l_s+r+1} $(0 \le s \le m-1)$ we understand

$$\tau_{l_s+0} = \tau_{l_s+r+1} = 1.$$

For example, if $i = 1$ then

$$\tau_{l_s+i-1} = 1.$$

Example 3.8. Let us consider the action of $\tilde{e}_1$ on the monomial $\frac{1}{\tau_{l_{r-1}+1}}$. Following the method in Section 3.1, we have $\mathrm{wt}(\frac{1}{\tau_{l_{r-1}+1}}) = -\Lambda_1$, $\varphi_1(\frac{1}{\tau_{l_{r-1}+1}}) = 0$, $\varepsilon_1(\frac{1}{\tau_{l_{r-1}+1}}) = \varphi_1(\frac{1}{\tau_{l_{r-1}+1}}) - \mathrm{wt}(\frac{1}{\tau_{l_{r-1}+1}})(h_1) = 1$, and $n_{e_1} = r-2$. Thus, since we have $A_{r-2,1} = \tau_{l_{r-2}+1}\tau_{l_{r-1}+1}\tau_{l_{r-2}+p_{2,1}+2}^{a_{2,1}} = \frac{\tau_{l_{r-2}+1}\tau_{l_{r-1}+1}}{\tau_{l_{r-2}+2}}$, we get

$$\tilde{e}_1 \frac{1}{\tau_{l_{r-1}+1}} = A_{r-2,1} \frac{1}{\tau_{l_{r-1}+1}} = \frac{\tau_{l_{r-2}+1}}{\tau_{l_{r-2}+2}}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\tilde{e}_2\tilde{e}_1 \frac{1}{\tau_{l_{r-1}+1}} = A_{r-3,2} \frac{\tau_{l_{r-2}+1}}{\tau_{l_{r-2}+2}} = \frac{\tau_{l_{r-3}+2}}{\tau_{l_{r-3}+3}}, \qquad A_{r-3,2} = \frac{\tau_{l_{r-3}+2}\tau_{l_{r-2}+2}}{\tau_{l_{r-3}+3}\tau_{l_{r-2}+1}},$$
$$\tilde{e}_3\tilde{e}_2\tilde{e}_1 \frac{1}{\tau_{l_{r-1}+1}} = A_{r-4,3} \frac{\tau_{l_{r-3}+2}}{\tau_{l_{r-3}+3}} = \frac{\tau_{l_{r-4}+3}}{\tau_{l_{r-4}+4}}, \qquad A_{r-4,3} = \frac{\tau_{l_{r-4}+3}\tau_{l_{r-3}+3}}{\tau_{l_{r-4}+4}\tau_{l_{r-3}+2}}.$$

Applying $\tilde{e}_i$ repeatedly, we obtain

$$\tilde{e}_k \cdots \tilde{e}_2\tilde{e}_1 \frac{1}{\tau_{l_{r-1}+1}} = A_{r-1-k,k}\tilde{e}_{k-1} \cdots \tilde{e}_2\tilde{e}_1 \frac{1}{\tau_{l_{r-1}+1}} = \frac{\tau_{l_{r-1-k}+k}}{\tau_{l_{r-1-k}+k+1}}, \qquad k = 1, \ldots, r,$$

where, $A_{r-1-k,k} = \frac{\tau_{l_{r-1-k}+k}\tau_{l_{r-k}+k}}{\tau_{l_{r-1-k}+k+1}\tau_{l_{r-k}+k-1}}$, $\tau_{l_{-1}+r} = r$, $\tau_{l_{-1}+r+1} := 1$. For $i \in I$, we have $\varphi_i(\frac{1}{\tau_{l_{r-1}+1}}) = 0$. Hence $\tilde{f}_i(\frac{1}{\tau_{l_{r-1}+1}}) = 0$.

Example 3.9. For a given $i \in I$ and $Y = \prod_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} \tau_{l_s+i}^{\zeta_{s,i}}$, we define $\nu_Y(n) := \sum_{s\le n} \zeta_{s,i}$.

For $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we set

$$Y = \frac{1}{\tau_{l_{q_1}+i}\tau_{l_{q_2}+i} \cdots \tau_{l_{q_j}+i}}, \qquad 0 \le q_1 < q_2 < \cdots < q_j \le r-1.$$

First, let us calculate n_{e_i} (3.4). We obtain $\mathrm{wt}(Y) = -j\Lambda_i$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nu_Y(n) = 0 \qquad \text{for} \quad n < 0,\\
&\nu_Y(0) = \nu_Y(1) = \cdots = \nu_Y(q_1-1) = 0, \qquad \nu_Y(q_1) = \nu_Y(q_1+1) = \cdots = \nu_Y(q_2-1) = -1,\\
&\nu_Y(q_2) = \nu_Y(q_2+1) = \cdots = \nu_Y(q_3-1) = -2, \qquad \nu_Y(q_3) = \cdots = \nu_Y(q_4-1) = -3, \quad \ldots.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get $\varphi_i(Y) = \max\{\nu_Y(n) \,|\, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = 0$ and

$$n_{e_i} = \max\{n \,|\, \nu_Y(n) = 0\} = q_1 - 1.$$

Next, since $\mathrm{wt}(Y)(h_i) = -j$, we have $\varepsilon_i(Y) = \varphi_i(Y) - \mathrm{wt}(Y)(h_i) = j > 0$. Therefore,

$$\tilde{e}_i Y = A_{q_1-1,i} Y = \frac{\tau_{l_{q_1-1}+i}}{\tau_{l_{q_1-1}+i+1}\tau_{l_{q_1}+i-1}\tau_{l_{q_2}+i} \cdots \tau_{l_{q_j}+i}}, \qquad A_{q_1-1,i} = \frac{\tau_{l_{q_1-1}+i}\tau_{l_{q_1}+i}}{\tau_{l_{q_1-1}+i+1}\tau_{l_{q_1}+i-1}}.$$

Similarly, for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, j$, we get

$$\tilde{e}_i^k Y = A_{q_k-1,i} \cdots A_{q_2-1,i} A_{q_1-1,i} Y = \prod_{s=1}^{k} \left(\frac{\tau_{l_{q_k-1}+i}}{\tau_{l_{q_k-1}+i+1}\tau_{l_{q_k}+i-1}} \right) \frac{1}{\tau_{l_{q_{k+1}}+i} \cdots \tau_{l_{q_j}+i}}.$$

3.2 Demazure crystal

For $w \in W$, let us define an *upper Demazure crystal* $B^+_w(\lambda)$. This is a subset of the crystal $B^+(\lambda)$ defined as follows.

Definition 3.10. Let u_λ be the highest weight vector of $B^+(\lambda)$. For the identity element e of W, we set $B^+_e(\lambda) := \{u_\lambda\}$. For $w \in W$, if $s_i w < w$,

$$B^+_w(\lambda) := \left\{ \tilde{f}_i^k b \,|\, k \geq 0,\ b \in B^+_{s_i w}(\lambda),\ \tilde{e}_i b = 0 \right\} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Similarly, we define a *lower Demazure crystal* $B^-_w(\lambda)$ as follows.

Definition 3.11. Let v_λ be the lowest weight vector of $B^-(\lambda)$. We set $B^-_e(\lambda) := \{v_\lambda\}$. For $w \in W$, if $s_i w < w$,

$$B^-_w(\lambda) := \left\{ \tilde{e}_i^k b \,|\, k \geq 0,\ b \in B^-_{s_i w}(\lambda),\ \tilde{f}_i b = 0 \right\} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Theorem 3.12 ([7])**.** *For $w \in W$, let $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_n}$ be an arbitrary reduced expression. Let u_λ (resp. $v_{\lambda'}$) be the highest (resp. lowest) weight vector of $B^+(\lambda)$ (resp. $B^-(\lambda')$). Then*

$$B^+_w(\lambda) = \left\{ \tilde{f}_{i_1}^{a(1)} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_n}^{a(n)} u_\lambda \,|\, a(1), \ldots, a(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\} \setminus \{0\},$$
$$B^-_w(\lambda') = \left\{ \tilde{e}_{i_1}^{a(1)} \cdots \tilde{e}_{i_n}^{a(n)} v_{\lambda'} \,|\, a(1), \ldots, a(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Let P^+ be the set of dominant weights. We set $P^- := -P^+$.

Definition 3.13. Let $\mathcal{Y}(p)$ be the monomial realization of crystal associated with $p = (p_{i,j})$. Suppose that $Y \in \mathcal{Y}(p)$ be a highest (resp. lowest) monomial with a weight $\lambda \in P^\pm$. Thus, Y is included in $\mu_Y(B^\pm_w(\lambda))$. Let us define the Demazure polynomial $D^\pm_w[\lambda, Y; C]$ associated with a monomial Y, $w \in W$ and coefficients $C = (c(b))_{b \in B^\pm_w(\lambda)}$ $(c(b) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$,

$$D^\pm_w[\lambda, Y; C] := \sum_{b \in B^\pm_w(\lambda)} c(b) \mu_Y(b).$$

Remark 3.14. In this paper, we only treat the case that the coefficients $c(b)$ are equal to 1 for all $b \in B^-_w(\lambda)$ (see Theorem 5.6). But when $G \neq \mathrm{SL}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$ (for example, $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(\mathbb{C})$), we need to treat the case $c(b)$ is not necessary equal to 1 for some $b \in B^-_w(\lambda)$. Therefore, we need non-trivial coefficients $c(b) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ in Definition 3.13.

4 Cluster algebras and generalized minors

In this section, we shall review the notions of cluster algebras. For all definitions in this section, see, e.g., [1, 4].

We set $[1, l] := \{1, 2, \ldots, l\}$ and $[-1, -l] := \{-1, -2, \ldots, -l\}$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. For $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $x_1, \ldots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+m}$ be variables and $\mathcal{P}$ be a free multiplicative abelian group generated by $x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+m}$. We set $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{P} := \mathbb{Z}[x_{n+1}^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_{n+m}^{\pm 1}]$. Let $K := \{\frac{g}{h} \,|\, g, h \in \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{P},\ h \neq 0\}$ be the field of fractions of $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{P}$, and $\mathcal{F} := K(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be the field of rational functions.

4.1 Cluster algebras of geometric type

Definition 4.1. We set n-tuple of variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Let $\tilde{B} = (b_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq n,\, 1 \leq j \leq n+m}$ be $n \times (n+m)$ integer matrix whose principal part $B := (b_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ is sign skew symmetric. Then a pair $\Sigma = (\mathbf{x}, \tilde{B})$ is called a *seed*, $\mathbf{x}$ a cluster and $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ cluster variables. For a seed $\Sigma = (\mathbf{x}, \tilde{B})$, principal part B of $\tilde{B}$ is called the *exchange matrix*.

Definition 4.2. For a seed $\Sigma = (\mathbf{x}, \tilde{B} = (b_{ij}))$, an *adjacent cluster* in direction $k \in [1, n]$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{x}_k = (\mathbf{x} \setminus \{x_k\}) \cup \{x_k'\},$$

where x_k' is the new cluster variable defined by the *exchange relation*

$$x_k x_k' = \prod_{1 \le i \le n+m,\ b_{ki} > 0} x_i^{b_{ki}} + \prod_{1 \le i \le n+m,\ b_{ki} < 0} x_i^{-b_{ki}}.$$

Definition 4.3. Let $A = (a_{ij})$, $A' = (a_{ij}')$ be two matrices of the same size. We say that A' is obtained from A by the matrix mutation in direction k, and denote $A' = \mu_k(A)$ if

$$a_{ij}' = \begin{cases} -a_{ij} & \text{if} \quad i = k \quad \text{or} \quad j = k, \\ a_{ij} + \dfrac{|a_{ik}|a_{kj} + a_{ik}|a_{kj}|}{2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For A, A', if there exists a finite sequence $(k_1, \dots, k_s)$, $k_i \in [1, n]$, such that $A' = \mu_{k_1} \cdots \mu_{k_s}(A)$, we say A is mutation equivalent to A', and denote $A \cong A'$.

Next proposition can be easily verified by the definition of μ_k:

Proposition 4.4 ([4, Proposition 3.6])**.** *Let A be a skew symmetrizable matrix. Then any matrix that is mutation equivalent to A is sign skew symmetric.*

For a seed $\Sigma = (\mathbf{x}, \tilde{B})$, we say that the seed $\Sigma' = (\mathbf{x}', \tilde{B}')$ is adjacent to Σ if $\mathbf{x}'$ is adjacent to $\mathbf{x}$ in direction k and $\tilde{B}' = \mu_k(\tilde{B})$. Two seeds Σ and Σ_0 are mutation equivalent if one of them can be obtained from another seed by a sequence of pairwise adjacent seeds and we denote $\Sigma \sim \Sigma_0$.

Now let us define a cluster algebra of geometric type.

Definition 4.5. Let $\tilde{B}$ be a skew symmetrizable matrix, and $\Sigma = (\mathbf{x}, \tilde{B})$ a seed. We set $\mathbb{A} := \mathbb{Z}[x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+m}]$. The cluster algebra (of geometric type) $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(\Sigma)$ over $\mathbb{A}$ associated with seed Σ is defined as the $\mathbb{A}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}$ generated by all cluster variables in all seeds which are mutation equivalent to Σ.

For a seed Σ, we define $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{P}$-subalgebra $\mathcal{U}(\Sigma)$ of $\mathcal{F}$ by

$$\mathcal{U}(\Sigma) := \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{P}\left[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}\right] \cap \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{P}\left[\mathbf{x}_1^{\pm 1}\right] \cap \cdots \cap \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{P}\left[\mathbf{x}_n^{\pm 1}\right].$$

Here, $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{P}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ is the Laurent polynomial ring in $\mathbf{x}$.

Definition 4.6. Let $\Sigma_0 = (\mathbf{x}, \tilde{B})$ be a seed such that $\tilde{B}$ is skew symmetrizable. We define an *upper cluster algebra* $\overline{\mathcal{A}} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}(\Sigma_0)$ as the intersection of the subalgebras $\mathcal{U}(\Sigma)$ for all seeds $\Sigma \sim \Sigma_0$.

Following the inclusion relation holds [1]:

$$\mathcal{A}(\Sigma) \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}}(\Sigma).$$

4.2 Cluster algebras on double Bruhat cells of type A

As in Section 2, let $G = \mathrm{SL}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$ be the simple algebraic group of type A_r and $W = \mathfrak{S}_{r+1}$ be its Weyl group. We set $u \in W$ and its reduced word $\mathbf{i}$ as in (2.1) and (2.2):

$$u = \underbrace{s_1 s_2 \cdots s_r}_{1^{\text{st}}\text{ cycle}} \underbrace{s_1 \cdots s_{r-1}}_{2^{\text{nd}}\text{ cycle}} \cdots \underbrace{s_1 \cdots s_{r-m+2}}_{(m-1)^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}} \underbrace{s_1 \cdots s_{i_n}}_{m^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}}, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\mathbf{i} = (\underbrace{1,\dots,r}_{1^{\text{st}}\text{ cycle}},\underbrace{1,\dots,(r-1)}_{2^{\text{nd}}\text{ cycle}},\dots,\underbrace{1,\dots,(r-m+2)}_{(m-1)^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}},\underbrace{1,\dots,i_n}_{m^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}}). \tag{4.2}$$

We shall constitute the upper cluster algebra $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{i})$ from $\mathbf{i}$. Let i_k, $k \in [1, l(u)]$, be the k^{th} index of $\mathbf{i}$ from the left.

At first, we define a set $e(\mathbf{i})$ as

$$e(\mathbf{i}) := [-1,-r] \cup \{k \mid \text{there exist some } l > k \text{ such that } i_k = i_l\}.$$

Next, let us define a matrix $\tilde{B} = \tilde{B}(\mathbf{i})$.

Definition 4.7. Let $\tilde{B}(\mathbf{i})$ be an integer matrix with rows labeled by all the indices in $[-1,-r] \cup [1,l(u)]$ and columns labeled by all the indices in $e(\mathbf{i})$. For $k \in [-1,-r] \cup [1,l(u)]$ and $l \in e(\mathbf{i})$, an entry b_{kl} of $\tilde{B}(\mathbf{i})$ is determined as follows

$$b_{kl} = \begin{cases} -\operatorname{sgn}((k-l)\cdot i_p) & \text{if} \quad p = q, \\ -\operatorname{sgn}((k-l)\cdot i_p \cdot a_{|i_k||i_l|}) & \text{if} \quad p < q \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{sgn}(i_p \cdot i_q)(k-l)(k^+ - l^+) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 4.8 ([1, Proposition 2.6])**.** *The matrix $\tilde{B}(\mathbf{i})$ is skew symmetrizable.*

By Proposition 4.4, Definition 4.6 and Proposition 4.8, we can construct the upper cluster algebra from $\tilde{B}(\mathbf{i})$:

Definition 4.9. We denote this upper cluster algebra by $\overline{A}(\mathbf{i})$.

Now, we set $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{i})_{\mathbb{C}} := \bar{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{i}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. It is known that the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[G^{u,e}]$ of the double Bruhat cell is isomorphic to $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{i})_{\mathbb{C}}$ (Theorem 4.11). To describe this isomorphism explicitly, we need *generalized minors*. For $k \in [1,l(u)]$, let i_k be the k^{th} index of $\mathbf{i}$ (4.2) from the left, and we suppose that it belongs to the m'^{th} cycle. We set

$$u_{\le k} = u_{\le k}(\mathbf{i}) := \underbrace{s_1 s_2 \cdots s_r}_{1^{\text{st}}\text{ cycle}} \underbrace{s_1 \cdots s_{r-1}}_{2^{\text{nd}}\text{ cycle}} \cdots \underbrace{s_1 \cdots s_{i_k}}_{m'^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}}. \tag{4.3}$$

For $k \in [-1,-r]$, we set $u_{\le k} := e$ and $i_k := k$. In the case $G = \mathrm{SL}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$, the generalized minors are nothing but the ordinary minors of a matrix:

Definition 4.10 ([1])**.** For $x \in G = \mathrm{SL}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$ and $k \in [-1,-r] \cup [1,l(u)]$, we define the *generalized minor* $\Delta(k;\mathbf{i})(x)$ as the minor of x whose rows (resp. columns) are labeled by the elements of the set $u_{\le k}([1,|i_k|])$ (resp. $[1,|i_k|]$).

Finally, we set

$$F(\mathbf{i}) := \{\Delta(k;\mathbf{i}) \mid k \in [-1,-r] \cup [1,l(u)]\}.$$

It is known that the set $F(\mathbf{i})$ is an algebraically independent generating set for the field of rational functions $\mathbb{C}(G^{u,e})$ [3, Theorem 1.12]. Then, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.11 ([1, Theorem 2.10])**.** *The isomorphism of fields $\varphi : F_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{C}(G^{u,e})$ defined by $\varphi(x_k) = \Delta(k;\mathbf{i})$, $k \in [-1,-r] \cup [1,l(u)]$, restricts to an isomorphism of algebras $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{i})_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{C}[G^{u,e}]$.*

5 Generalized minors and crystals

In the rest of the paper, we consider the case $G = \mathrm{SL}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$, and let $u \in W$ and its reduced word $\mathbf{i}$ as in (4.1) and (4.2):

$$u = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_r s_1 \cdots s_{r-1} \cdots s_1 \cdots s_{r-m+2} s_1 \cdots s_{i_n}, \tag{5.1}$$

$$\mathbf{i} = (\underbrace{1, \ldots, r}_{1^{\text{st}}\text{ cycle}}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, (r-1)}_{2^{\text{nd}}\text{ cycle}}, \ldots, \underbrace{1, \ldots, (r-m+2)}_{(m-1)^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, i_n}_{m^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}}), \tag{5.2}$$

that is, $\mathbf{i}$ is the left factor of $(1, 2, 3, \ldots, r, 1, 2, 3, \ldots, (r-1), \ldots, 1, 2, 1)$. Let i_k be the k^{th} index of $\mathbf{i}$ from the left, and belong to m'^{th} cycle. As we shall show in Lemma 5.4, we may assume $i_n = i_k$.

By Theorem 4.11, we can regard $\mathbb{C}[G^{u,e}]$ as an upper cluster algebra and $\{\Delta(k;\mathbf{i})\}$ as its cluster variables belonging to a given initial seed. Each $\Delta(k;\mathbf{i})$ is a regular function on $G^{u,e}$. On the other hand, by Proposition 2.4 (resp. Theorem 2.3), we can consider $\Delta(k;\mathbf{i})$ as a function on $H \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{l(u)}$ (resp. $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{l(u)}$). Then we change the variables of $\{\Delta(k;\mathbf{i})\}$ as follows:

Definition 5.1. For $a \in H$ and $\mathbf{t}$, $\tau \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{l(u)}$ we set

$$\Delta^G(k;\mathbf{i})(a,\mathbf{t}) := \big(\Delta(k;\mathbf{i}) \circ \bar{x}^G_{\mathbf{i}}\big)(a,\mathbf{t}),$$
$$\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau) := \big(\Delta(k;\mathbf{i}) \circ x^L_{\mathbf{i}}\big)(\tau),$$

where $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_{l(u)})$, $\tau = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{l(u)})$.

We will describe the function $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau)$ by using monomial realizations of Demazure crystals.

5.1 Generalized minor $\Delta^G(k;\mathbf{i})(a,\mathbf{t})$

In this subsection, we shall prove that $\Delta^G(k;\mathbf{i})(a,\mathbf{t})$ is immediately obtained from $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})$:

Proposition 5.2. *We set $d := i_k$. For $a = \mathrm{diag}(a_1, \ldots, a_{r+1}) \in H$,*

$$\Delta^G(k;\mathbf{i})(a,\mathbf{t}) = a_{m'+1} \cdots a_{m'+d} \Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\mathbf{t}).$$

This proposition follows from the following lemma:

Lemma 5.3. *In the above setting, $\Delta^G(k;\mathbf{i})(a,\mathbf{t})$ (resp. $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau)$) is given as a minor whose row are labeled by the set $\{m'+1, \ldots, m'+d\}$ and column are labeled by the set $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ of the matrix*

$$a \underbrace{x_{-1}(t_1)x_{-2}(t_2)\cdots x_{-r}(t_r)}_{1^{\text{st}}\text{ cycle}} \underbrace{x_{-1}(t_{l_1+1})\cdots x_{-(r-1)}(t_{l_1+r-1})}_{2^{\text{nd}}\text{ cycle}} \cdots$$
$$\times \underbrace{x_{-1}(t_{l_{m-1}+1})\cdots x_{-i_n}(t_{l_{m-1}+i_n})}_{m^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}},$$

resp.

$$\underbrace{x_{-1}(\tau_1)x_{-2}(\tau_2)\cdots x_{-r}(\tau_r)}_{1^{\text{st}}\text{ cycle}} \underbrace{x_{-1}(\tau_{l_1+1})\cdots x_{-(r-1)}(\tau_{l_1+r-1})}_{2^{\text{nd}}\text{ cycle}} \cdots$$
$$\times \underbrace{x_{-1}(\tau_{l_{m-1}+1})\cdots x_{-i_n}(\tau_{l_{m-1}+i_n})}_{m^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}}. \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. Let us prove this lemma for $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau)$ since the case for $\Delta^G(k;\mathbf{i})(a,\mathbf{t})$ is proven similarly. By the definition (4.3) of $u_{\le k}$ and $i_k = d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\le k}[1,|i_k|] = u_{\le k}\{1,\dots,d\} &= \underbrace{s_1\cdots s_r}_{1^{\text{st}}\text{ cycle}}\cdots\underbrace{s_1\cdots s_{r-m'+2}}_{(m'-1)^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}}\underbrace{s_1\cdots s_d}_{m'^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}}\{1,\dots,d\} \\ &= \{m'+1,\dots,m'+d\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Theorem 2.3 and Definition 4.10, $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau)$ is given as a minor whose row (resp. column) are labeled by the set $\{m'+1,\dots,m'+d\}$ (resp. $\{1,\dots,d\}$) of the matrix

$$\begin{aligned} &\underbrace{x_{-1}(\tau_1)x_{-2}(\tau_2)\cdots x_{-r}(\tau_r)}_{1^{\text{st}}\text{ cycle}}\underbrace{x_{-1}(\tau_{l_1+1})\cdots x_{-(r-1)}(\tau_{l_1+r-1})}_{2^{\text{nd}}\text{ cycle}}\cdots \\ &\quad\times\underbrace{x_{-1}(\tau_{l_{m-1}+1})\cdots x_{-i_n}(\tau_{l_{m-1}+i_n})}_{m^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the desired result. ∎

In the rest of the paper, we will treat $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau)$ only by Proposition 5.2.

5.2 Generalized minor $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau)$

Lemma 5.4. *Let u and $\mathbf{i}=(1,\dots,i_n)$ be as in the form (5.1) and (5.2) respectively. For $i_{n+1}\in[1,r]$ and $u' := us_{i_{n+1}} \in W$ $(l(u')>l(u))$ we set the reduced word for u' as $\mathbf{i}' := (1,\dots,i_n,i_{n+1})$. We also set $\tau=(\tau_1,\dots,\tau_n)$ and $\tau'=(\tau_1,\dots,\tau_n,\tau_{n+1})$. For an integer k, $1\le k\le n$, if $d := i_k \ne i_{n+1}$, then $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i}')(\tau')$ does not depend on τ_{n+1}, so we can regard it as a function on $H\times(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$. Furthermore, we have*

$$\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau) = \Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i}')(\tau').$$

Proof. We denote the matrix (5.3) by $T=(T_{i,j})_{i,j\in[1,r+1]}$:

$$T=(T_{i,j})_{i,j\in[1,r+1]} := x_{-1}(\tau_1)x_{-2}(\tau_2)\cdots x_{-i_n}(\tau_n).$$

We also define the submatrix T_u of the matrix T whose rows (resp. columns) are labeled by the set $\{m'+1,\dots,m'+d\}$ (resp. $\{1,\dots,d\}$), that is

$$T_u := \begin{pmatrix} T_{m'+1,1} & \dots & T_{m'+1,d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ T_{m'+d,1} & \dots & T_{m'+d,d} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 5.3, $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau)$ is the determinant of T_u.

Similarly, we define the submatrix $T'_{u'}$ of the matrix $T' := T\cdot x_{-i_{n+1}}(\tau_{n+1})$ whose rows (resp. columns) are labeled by the set $\{m'+1,\dots,m'+d\}$ (resp. $\{1,\dots,d\}$). By Lemma 5.3, $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i}')(\tau')$ is the determinant of $T'_{u'}$.

Using the explicit form of $x_{-i}(t)$ in (2.4), we have the following relation: If $i_{n+1} < i_k = d$, then

$$T'_{u'} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{m'+1,1} & \dots & \tau_{n+1}^{-1}T_{m'+1,i_{n+1}} + T_{m'+1,i_{n+1}+1} & \tau_{n+1}T_{m'+1,i_{n+1}+1} & \dots & T_{m'+1,d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ T_{m'+d,1} & \dots & \tau_{n+1}^{-1}T_{m'+1,i_{n+1}} + T_{m'+1,i_{n+1}+1} & \tau_{n+1}T_{m'+1,i_{n+1}+1} & \dots & T_{m'+d,d} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $i_{n+1} > i_k = d$, then $T'_{u'} = T_u$. Therefore, it is clear that for both cases, $\det T'_{u'} = \det T_u$, which means $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i}')(\tau') = \Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau)$. ∎

By this lemma, when we calculate $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau)$, we may assume that $i_n = i_k$ without loss of generality.

We set $l_i = \sum_{k=1}^{i}(r-k+1)(1 \le i \le m-1)$, and change the variables $\{Y_{m,j}\}$ to $\{\tau_{l_m+j}\}$ as in Section 3.1.

Remark 5.5. For

$$\mathbf{i} = (\underbrace{1,\dots,r}_{1^{\text{st}}\text{ cycle}},\underbrace{1,\dots,(r-1)}_{2^{\text{nd}}\text{ cycle}},\dots,\underbrace{1,\dots,(r-m+2)}_{(m-1)^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}},\underbrace{1,\dots,i_n}_{m^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}}),$$

$(l_i+j)^{\text{th}}$ index of $\mathbf{i}$ from the left is j which belongs to $(i+1)^{\text{th}}$ cycle $(1 \le j \le r-i-1)$.

The following theorem is our main result. We describe $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau)$ as a Demazure polynomial D_w^- in Definition 3.13.

Theorem 5.6. *In the above setting, we set $d := i_k = i_n$ and suppose that i_k belongs to m'^{th} cycle in $\mathbf{i}$ (5.2). We also set*

$$Y := \frac{1}{\tau_{l_{m-1}+d}\tau_{l_{m-2}+d}\cdots\tau_{l_{m'}+d}}.$$

Then we have

$$\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau) = \sum_{x \in B^-_{u_{\le k}}((m'-m)\Lambda_d)} \mu_Y(x) = D^-_{u_{\le k}}[(m'-m)\Lambda_d, Y; \mathbf{1}],$$

where $\mu_Y(x)$ is an embedding of $x \in B^-_{u_{\le k}}((m'-m)\Lambda_d)$ as in Example 3.5, and $\mathbf{1}$ means all $c(b) \equiv 1$ (Definition 3.13).

We shall prove this theorem in Section 6. In particular, we can explicitly write down $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau)$ in the case $i_k = 1$.

Theorem 5.7. *If $i_k = 1$ and i_k is in the m'^{th} cycle in $\mathbf{i}$ (5.2), then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau) &= \sum_{0 \le j_1 < \cdots < j_{m'} \le m-1} \prod_{i=0}^{j_1-1} \frac{1}{\tau_{l_{m-1-i}+1}} \prod_{i=j_1+1}^{j_2-1} \frac{\tau_{l_{m-1-i}+1}}{\tau_{l_{m-1-i}+2}} \cdots \prod_{i=j_{m'}+1}^{m-1} \frac{\tau_{l_{m-1-i}+m'}}{\tau_{l_{m-1-i}+m'+1}} \\ &= D^-_{u_{\le k}}[(m'-m)\Lambda_1, \frac{1}{\tau_{l_{m-1}+1}\tau_{l_{m-2}+1}\cdots\tau_{l_{m'+1}+1}\tau_{l_{m'}+1}}; \mathbf{1}].\end{aligned}$$

We will prove this theorem in Section 6.5.

6 The proof of Theorems 5.6 and 5.7

In this section, we shall give the proof of Theorems 5.6 and 5.7. In Sections 6.1–6.4, we will prove Theorem 5.6. In Section 6.5, we prove Theorem 5.7. We use the same notation as in Section 5.2: $l_i = \sum_{k=1}^{i}(r-k+1)$, $1 \le i \le m-1$.

6.1 The set $X_d(m, m')$ of paths

In this subsection, we shall introduce a set $X_d(m, m')$ of "paths" which correspond to the terms of $\Delta^L(k; \mathbf{i})(\tau)$. Let m, m' and d be the positive integers as in Section 5.2.

Definition 6.1. Let us define the directed graph (V_d, E_d) as follows. We define the set $V_d = V_d(m, m')$ of vertices as

$$V_d(m, m') := \big\{ \big(m - s; a_1^{(s)}, a_2^{(s)}, \dots, a_d^{(s)}\big) \mid \\ 0 \le s \le m,\ a_i^{(s)} \in \mathbb{Z},\ 1 \le a_1^{(s)} < a_2^{(s)} < \cdots < a_d^{(s)} \le d + s \big\}.$$

Note that $a_1^{(0)} = 1$, $a_2^{(0)} = 2, \dots, a_d^{(0)} = d$ by $1 \le a_1^{(0)} < a_2^{(0)} < \cdots < a_d^{(0)} \le d$. And we define the set $E_d = E_d(m, m')$ of directed edges as

$$E_d(m, m') := \big\{ \big(m - s; a_1^{(s)}, \dots, a_d^{(s)}\big) \to \big(m - s - 1; a_1^{(s+1)}, \dots, a_d^{(s+1)}\big) \mid \\ 1 \le s \le m,\ 1 \le i \le d,\ a_i^{(s+1)} = a_i^{(s)} \text{ or } a_i^{(s)} + 1 \big\}.$$

Definition 6.2. Let $X_d(m, m')$ be the set of directed paths from $(m; 1, \dots, d)$ to $(0; m' + 1, m' + 2, \dots, m' + d)$ in (V_d, E_d). In other word, any path $p \in X_d(m, m')$

$$p = \big(m; a_1^{(0)}, \dots, a_d^{(0)}\big) \to \big(m - 1; a_1^{(1)}, \dots, a_d^{(1)}\big) \to \big(m - 2; a_1^{(2)}, \dots, a_d^{(2)}\big) \to \cdots \\ \to \big(1; a_1^{(m-1)}, \dots, a_d^{(m-1)}\big) \to \big(0; a_1^{(m)}, \dots, a_d^{(m)}\big)$$

are characterized by the following conditions

(i) $a_i^{(s)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}$,
(ii) $a_1^{(s)} < a_2^{(s)} < \cdots < a_d^{(s)}$,
(iii) $a_i^{(s+1)} = a_i^{(s)}$ or $a_i^{(s)} + 1$,
(iv) $a_i^{(0)} = i$, $a_i^{(m)} = m' + i$.

Remark 6.3. By Definition 6.2(ii), (iii) and (iv), we have

$$a_i^{(s)} \le a_d^{(s)} \le a_d^{(m)} = m' + d$$

for any $1 \le i \le d$ and $0 \le s \le m$.

Let us define a Laurent monomial associated with each path in $X_d(m, m')$.

Definition 6.4. Let $p \in X_d(m, m')$ be a path

$$p = \big(m; a_1^{(0)}, \dots, a_d^{(0)}\big) \to \big(m - 1; a_1^{(1)}, \dots, a_d^{(1)}\big) \to \big(m - 2; a_1^{(2)}, \dots, a_d^{(2)}\big) \to \cdots \\ \to \big(1; a_1^{(m-1)}, \dots, a_d^{(m-1)}\big) \to \big(0; a_1^{(m)}, \dots, a_d^{(m)}\big).$$

(i) For each $0 \le s \le m$, we define the *label of the edge* $\big(m-s; a_1^{(s)}, a_2^{(s)}, \dots, a_d^{(s)}\big) \to \big(m-s-1; a_1^{(s+1)}, a_2^{(s+1)}, \dots, a_d^{(s+1)}\big)$ as the Laurent monomial

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\tau_{l_{m-s-1}+a_i^{(s+1)}-1}}{\tau_{l_{m-s-1}+a_i^{(s)}}}. \tag{6.1}$$

(ii) And we define the *label* $Q(p)$ *of the path* p as the product of them

$$Q(p) := \prod_{s=0}^{m-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\tau_{l_{m-s-1}+a_i^{(s+1)}-1}}{\tau_{l_{m-s-1}+a_i^{(s)}}} \right).$$

Example 6.5. Let $m = 3$, $m' = 2$, $d = 2$. We can describe $X_2(3,2)$ and its labels as follows



Each edge has the label written on the left side of it. The paths in $X_2(3,2)$ are as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
p_1 &= (3;1,2) \to (2;1,2) \to (1;2,3) \to (0;3,4),\\
p_2 &= (3;1,2) \to (2;1,3) \to (1;2,3) \to (0;3,4),\\
p_3 &= (3;1,2) \to (2;1,3) \to (1;2,4) \to (0;3,4),\\
p_4 &= (3;1,2) \to (2;2,3) \to (1;2,3) \to (0;3,4),\\
p_5 &= (3;1,2) \to (2;2,3) \to (1;2,4) \to (0;3,4),\\
p_6 &= (3;1,2) \to (2;2,3) \to (1;3,4) \to (0;3,4).
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(p_1) &= \frac{1}{\tau_{l_2+2}}, \qquad Q(p_2) = \frac{\tau_{l_1+2}}{\tau_{l_2+1}\tau_{l_1+3}}, \qquad Q(p_3) = \frac{\tau_{l_0+3}}{\tau_{l_2+1}\tau_{l_0+4}},\\
Q(p_4) &= \frac{\tau_{l_1+1}}{\tau_{l_1+3}}, \qquad Q(p_5) = \frac{\tau_{l_1+1}\tau_{l_0+3}}{\tau_{l_1+2}\tau_{l_0+4}}, \qquad Q(p_6) = \frac{\tau_{l_0+2}}{\tau_{l_0+4}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 6.6. For each path $p \in X_d(m,m')$

$$\begin{aligned}
p = \big(m; a_1^{(0)}, \dots, a_d^{(0)}\big) &\to \big(m-1; a_1^{(1)}, \dots, a_d^{(1)}\big) \to \big(m-2; a_1^{(2)}, \dots, a_d^{(2)}\big) \to \cdots\\
&\to \big(1; a_1^{(m-1)}, \dots, a_d^{(m-1)}\big) \to \big(0; a_1^{(m)}, \dots, a_d^{(m)}\big)
\end{aligned}$$

and $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, we call the following sequence

$$a_i^{(0)} \to a_i^{(1)} \to a_i^{(2)} \to \cdots \to a_i^{(m)}$$

an *i-sequence* of p.

Example 6.7. In the setting of Example 6.5, $p_1 := (3;1,2) \to (2;1,2) \to (1;2,3) \to (0;3,4)$. Then, 1-sequence of p_1 is

$$1 \to 1 \to 2 \to 3$$

and 2-sequence of p_1 is

$$2 \to 2 \to 3 \to 4.$$

6.2 One-to-one correspondence between paths in $X_d(m,m')$ and terms of $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau)$

Proposition 6.8. *We use the setting (5.1), (5.2) and the notations in Section 5. Then, we have the following*

$$\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau) = \sum_{p \in X_d(m,m')} Q(p).$$

To prove this proposition, we need the following preparations. For s $(0 \le s \le m-1)$, we define a matrix $x^{(s)}(\tau)$ as

$$x^{(s)}(\tau) := \underbrace{x_{-1}(\tau_1)x_{-2}(\tau_2)\cdots x_{-r}(\tau_r)}_{1^{\text{st}}\text{ cycle}} \underbrace{x_{-1}(\tau_{l_1+1})\cdots x_{-(r-1)}(\tau_{l_1+r-1})}_{2^{\text{nd}}\text{ cycle}} \cdots \times \underbrace{x_{-1}(\tau_{l_{s-1}+1})\cdots x_{-(r-s+1)}(\tau_{l_{s-1}+r-s+1})}_{s^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}},$$

where we understand $x^{(0)}(\tau)$ means identity matrix. We also define

$$x^{(m)}(\tau) := \underbrace{x_{-1}(\tau_1)x_{-2}(\tau_2)\cdots x_{-r}(\tau_r)}_{1^{\text{st}}\text{ cycle}} \underbrace{x_{-1}(\tau_{l_1+1})\cdots x_{-(r-1)}(\tau_{l_1+r-1})}_{2^{\text{nd}}\text{ cycle}} \cdots \times \underbrace{x_{-1}(\tau_{l_{m-1}+1})\cdots x_{-i_n}(\tau_{l_{m-1}+i_n})}_{m^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}},$$

which is equal to the matrix in (5.3).

For $x^{(s)}(\tau) = (x^{(s)}_{i,j})_{i,j\in[1,r+1]}$, we define the d dimensional column vector $D(s;p)$

$$D(s;p) := \begin{pmatrix} x^{(s)}_{m'+1,p} \\ \vdots \\ x^{(s)}_{m'+d,p} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^d.$$

Note that, by the explicit form of $x_{-i}(\tau)$ in (2.4), multiplying $x_{-i}(\tau)$ from the right gives an elementary transformation of a matrix. Therefore, we get

$$D(s;p) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\tau_{l_{s-1}+p}} D(s-1;p) + D(s-1;p+1) & \text{if} \quad p=1, \\ \dfrac{\tau_{l_{s-1}+p-1}}{\tau_{l_{s-1}+p}} D(s-1;p) + D(s-1;p+1) & \text{if} \quad p>1, \end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

for $1 \le s \le m$. For $0 \le s \le m$ and $1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_d \le r$, we set

$$(s;i_1,i_2,\dots,i_d) := \det(D(s;i_1), D(s;i_2), \dots, D(s;i_d)), \tag{6.3}$$

which coincides with the notation for a vertex in $X_d(m,m')$ since later we identify each vertex with the minor above.

Proof of Proposition 6.8. We shall prove the proposition in the following three steps.

Step 1. $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau) = \det(D(m;1), D(m;2), \dots, D(m;d))$.

It is followed from Lemma 5.3 that $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau)$ is given as a minor whose row (resp. column) are labeled by the set $\{m'+1, \dots, m'+d\}$ (resp. $\{1, \dots, d\}$) of the matrix $x^{(m)}(\tau)$. Thus, by using above notation, we have $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau) = \det({}^t(D(m;1), D(m;2), \dots, D(m;d))) = \det(D(m;1), D(m;2), \dots, D(m;d))$.

Step 2. Calculation of $\det(D(m;1), D(m;2), \dots, D(m;d))$ and labeled graph.

By using (6.2), let us calculate $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau) = \det(D(m;1), D(m;2), \dots, D(m;d))$ explicitly. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(m;1,2,\dots,d) &= \det(D(m;1), D(m;2), \dots, D(m;d)) \\ &= \det\left(\frac{1}{\tau_{l_{m-1}+1}} D(m-1;1) + D(m-1;2), \dots, \right. \\ &\qquad \left. \frac{\tau_{l_{m-1}+d-1}}{\tau_{l_{m-1}+d}} D(m-1;d) + D(m-1;d+1)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

which implies that in the notation (6.3), $(m;1,2,\dots,d)$ is a linear combination of $\{(m-1; a_1^{(1)}, a_2^{(1)}, \dots, a_d^{(1)}) \,|\, 1 \le a_1^{(1)} < a_2^{(1)} < \cdots < a_d^{(1)} \le d+1,\ a_i^{(1)} = i \text{ or } i+1\}$. By (6.4), the coefficient of each $(m-1; a_1^{(1)}, a_2^{(1)}, \dots, a_d^{(1)})$ is

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\tau_{l_{m-1}+a_i^{(1)}-1}}{\tau_{l_{m-1}+i}},$$

which coincides with the label of the edge connecting $(m;1,\dots,d)$ and $(m-1; a_1^{(1)}, a_2^{(1)}, \dots, a_d^{(1)})$ defined in Definition 6.4, see (6.1).

Using the formula (6.2) again, we see that each $(m-1; a_1^{(1)}, a_2^{(1)}, \dots, a_d^{(1)})$ is a linear combination of $\{(m-2; a_1^{(2)}, a_2^{(2)}, \dots, a_d^{(2)}) \,|\, 1 \le a_1^{(2)} < a_2^{(2)} < \cdots < a_d^{(2)} \le d+2,\ a_i^{(2)} = a_i^{(1)} \text{ or } a_i^{(1)}+1\}$ in the same way as (6.4). Then, the coefficient of $(m-2; a_1^{(2)}, a_2^{(2)}, \dots, a_d^{(2)})$ is

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\tau_{l_{m-2}+a_i^{(2)}-1}}{\tau_{l_{m-2}+a_i^{(1)}}},$$

which coincides with the label of the edge connecting $(m-1; a_1^{(1)}, a_2^{(1)}, \dots, a_d^{(1)})$ and $(m-2; a_1^{(2)}, a_2^{(2)}, \dots, a_d^{(2)})$. Thus $(m;1,2,\dots,d)$ is a linear combination of $(m-2; a_1^{(2)}, a_2^{(2)}, \dots, a_d^{(2)})$, $1 \le a_1^{(2)} < a_2^{(2)} < \cdots < a_d^{(2)} \le d+2$, whose coefficient is

$$\sum_{a_1^{(1)}, \dots, a_d^{(1)}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\tau_{l_{m-1}+a_i^{(1)}-1}}{\tau_{l_{m-1}+i}} \times \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\tau_{l_{m-2}+a_i^{(2)}-1}}{\tau_{l_{m-2}+a_i^{(1)}}} \right),$$

where the index $a_i^{(1)}$ $(1 \le i \le d)$ runs over $\{(a_i^{(1)} : i \in \{1,\dots,d\}) \,|\, a_i^{(2)} = a_i^{(1)} \text{ or } a_i^{(1)}+1,\ a_i^{(1)} = i \text{ or } i+1,\ a_i^{(1)} < a_{i+1}^{(1)}\}$.

Repeating this argument, we see that $(m;1,2,\dots,d)$ is a linear combination of $(0; a_1^{(m)}, a_2^{(m)}, \dots, a_d^{(m)})$, $1 \le a_1^{(m)} < a_2^{(m)} < \cdots < a_d^{(m)} \le m+d$, whose coefficient is

$$\sum_{a_i^{(j)},\ i \in \{1,\dots,d\},\ 1 \le j \le m-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\tau_{l_{m-1}+a_i^{(1)}-1}}{\tau_{l_{m-1}+i}} \times \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\tau_{l_{m-2}+a_i^{(2)}-1}}{\tau_{l_{m-2}+a_i^{(1)}}} \times \cdots \times \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\tau_{l_0+a_i^{(m)}-1}}{\tau_{l_0+a_i^{(m-1)}}} \right), \tag{6.5}$$

where the index $a_i^{(j)}$ runs over $\{(a_i^{(j)} : i \in \{1,\dots,d\},\ 1 \le j \le m-1) \,|\, a_i^{(j+1)} = a_i^{(j)} \text{ or } a_i^{(j)}+1,\ a_i^{(j)} < a_{i+1}^{(j)},\ a_i^{(1)} = i \text{ or } i+1\}$.

Step 3. One-to-one correspondence between paths and terms of $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau)$.

Let us recall that $(0; a_1^{(m)}, a_2^{(m)}, \dots, a_d^{(m)})$ means a minor of the identity matrix, and $(0; a_1^{(m)}, a_2^{(m)}, \dots, a_d^{(m)}) = \det(D(0; a_1^{(m)}), D(0; a_2^{(m)}), \dots, D(0; a_d^{(m)}))$, and each $D(0;i)$ is given as follows:

$$D(0;i) = \begin{pmatrix} x^{(0)}_{m'+1,i} \\ \vdots \\ x^{(0)}_{m'+d,i} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{m'+1,i} \\ \vdots \\ \delta_{m'+d,i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By $1 \le a_1^{(m)} < a_2^{(m)} < \cdots < a_d^{(m)} \le m+d$ (Definition 6.2), we have

$$(0; a_1^{(m)}, a_2^{(m)}, \dots, a_d^{(m)}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ a_1^{(m)} = m'+1,\ a_2^{(m)} = m'+2,\ \dots,\ a_d^{(m)} = m'+d, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau) = \det(D(m;1), D(m;2), \dots, D(m;d))$ is equal to the coefficient of $(0; m'+1, m'+2, \dots, m'+d)$. By (6.5), setting $a_i^{(0)} = i$, $a_i^{(m)} = m'+i$, we have

$$\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau) = \sum_{a_i^{(j)},\ i\in\{1,\dots,d\},\ 1\le j\le m-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\tau_{l_{m-1}+a_i^{(1)}-1}}{\tau_{l_{m-1}+a_i^{(0)}}} \times \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\tau_{l_{m-2}+a_i^{(2)}-1}}{\tau_{l_{m-2}+a_i^{(1)}}} \times \cdots \times \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\tau_{l_0+a_i^{(m)}-1}}{\tau_{l_0+a_i^{(m-1)}}} \right), \tag{6.6}$$

where the index $a_i^{(j)}$ runs over $\{(a_i^{(j)} : i \in \{1,\dots,d\},\ 1 \le j \le m-1) \,|\, a_i^{(j+1)} = a_i^{(j)} \text{ or } a_i^{(j)}+1,\ a_i^{(j)} < a_{i+1}^{(j)},\ 0 \le j \le m-1\}$. Note that these conditions equal to the ones in Definition 6.2(ii) and (iii), and the conditions $a_i^{(0)} = i$, $a_i^{(m)} = m'+i$ are equal to the ones in Definition 6.2(iv). We see that the summand in (6.6) is equal to the label of the path

$$\begin{aligned} (m; a_1^{(0)}, \dots, a_d^{(0)}) &\to (m-1; a_1^{(1)}, \dots, a_d^{(1)}) \to (m-2; a_1^{(2)}, \dots, a_d^{(2)}) \to \cdots \\ &\to (1; a_1^{(m-1)}, \dots, a_d^{(m-1)}) \to (0; a_1^{(m)}, \dots, a_d^{(m)}) \in X_d(m,m'). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau) = \sum_{p\in X_d(m,m')} Q(p)$. ■

Example 6.9. We use the same notations m, m' and $d = i_k$ at the beginning of Section 5. We set rank $r = 4$, $u = s_1s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2s_3s_1s_2s_1 \in W$ and $k = 6$, which is the same setting as in introduction. Let

$$\mathbf{i} := (1,2,3,4,1,2,3,1,2,1)$$

be a reduced for u, and let

$$\mathbf{i}' = (\underbrace{1,2,3,4}_{1^{\text{st}}\text{ cycle}},\ \underbrace{1,2,3}_{2^{\text{nd}}\text{ cycle}},\ \underbrace{1,2}_{3^{\text{rd}}\text{ cycle}})$$

be a reduced word for us_1. By Lemma 5.4, we get $\Delta^L(6;\mathbf{i})(\tau) = \Delta^L(6;\mathbf{i}')(\tau)$. Since $m = 3$, $m' = 2$ and $d := i_k = 2$, it follows from Example 6.5 and Proposition 6.8 that

$$\Delta^L(6;\mathbf{i})(\tau) = \Delta^L(6;\mathbf{i}')(\tau) = \sum_{p\in X_2(3,2)} Q(p)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\tau_{l_2+2}} + \frac{\tau_{l_1+2}}{\tau_{l_2+1}\tau_{l_1+3}} + \frac{\tau_{l_0+3}}{\tau_{l_2+1}\tau_{l_0+4}} + \frac{\tau_{l_1+1}}{\tau_{l_1+3}} + \frac{\tau_{l_1+1}\tau_{l_0+3}}{\tau_{l_1+2}\tau_{l_0+4}} + \frac{\tau_{l_0+2}}{\tau_{l_0+4}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\tau_9} + \frac{\tau_6}{\tau_8\tau_7} + \frac{\tau_3}{\tau_8\tau_4} + \frac{\tau_5}{\tau_7} + \frac{\tau_5\tau_3}{\tau_6\tau_4} + \frac{\tau_2}{\tau_4},$$

which is equal to (1.4).

6.3 The explicit description of $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau)$

In Proposition 6.8, we had described the terms of $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau)$ as the paths in $X_d(m,m')$. In this subsection, we shall describe $\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau)$ explicitly by using some properties of paths. This description will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.6. First, we need to show some lemmas.

Let us write a path $p \in X_d(m,m')$ as follows

$$p = \big(m; a_1^{(0)},\dots,a_d^{(0)}\big) \to \big(m-1; a_1^{(1)},\dots,a_d^{(1)}\big) \to \big(m-2; a_1^{(2)},\dots,a_d^{(2)}\big) \to \cdots$$
$$\to \big(1; a_1^{(m-1)},\dots,a_d^{(m-1)}\big) \to \big(0; a_1^{(m)},\dots,a_d^{(m)}\big). \tag{6.7}$$

We begin with the following lemma:

Lemma 6.10. *For a path p (6.7) and $i \in \{1,\dots,d\}$, we have*

$$\#\big\{s \,|\, a_i^{(s)} = a_i^{(s+1)},\ 0 \le s \le m-1\big\} = m - m'.$$

Proof. By Definition 6.2(iii) and (iv), we have

$$i = a_i^{(0)} \le a_i^{(1)} \le \cdots \le a_i^{(m)} = m' + i, \qquad a_i^{(s+1)} = a_i^{(s)} \qquad \text{or} \qquad a_i^{(s)} + 1.$$

Thus, we get

$$\#\big\{s \,|\, a_i^{(s+1)} = a_i^{(s)} + 1,\ 0 \le s \le m-1\big\} = m',$$

which implies that $\#\{s \,|\, a_i^{(s)} = a_i^{(s+1)},\ 0 \le s \le m-1\} = m - m'$. ■

Definition 6.11. For a path p (6.7) and $i \in \{1,\dots,d\}$, we set $\{q_i^{(j)}\}_{1\le j\le m-m'}$, $0 \le q_i^{(1)} < \cdots < q_i^{(m-m')} \le m-1$, as

$$\big\{q_i^{(1)},\ q_i^{(2)},\dots,q_i^{(m-m')}\big\} := \big\{q \,|\, a_i^{(q)} = a_i^{(q+1)},\ 0 \le q \le m-1\big\}. \tag{6.8}$$

We also set $k_i^{(j)} \in [1, m'+d]$ $(1 \le i \le d,\ 1 \le j \le m-m')$ as

$$k_i^{(j)} := a_i^{(q_i^{(j)})}. \tag{6.9}$$

Lemma 6.12.

(*i*) *For $1 \le i \le d$ and $1 \le j \le m-m'$,*

$$q_i^{(j)} = k_i^{(j)} + j - i - 1.$$

(*ii*) *For $1 \le j \le m-m'$ and $1 \le i \le d-1$,*

$$1 \le k_i^{(j)} < k_{i+1}^{(j)} \le m' + d, \qquad q_i^{(j)} \le q_{i+1}^{(j)}.$$

Proof. (i) The definition of $q_i^{(j)}$ in (6.8) means that the path p has the following i-sequence (Definition 6.6):

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_i^{(0)} = i, \quad a_i^{(1)} = i+1, \quad a_i^{(2)} = i+2, \quad \dots, \quad a_i^{(q_i^{(1)})} = i + q_i^{(1)},\\
&a_i^{(q_i^{(1)}+1)} = i + q_i^{(1)}, \quad a_i^{(q_i^{(1)}+2)} = i + q_i^{(1)} + 1, \quad \dots, \quad a_i^{(q_i^{(2)})} = i + q_i^{(2)} - 1,\\
&a_i^{(q_i^{(2)}+1)} = i + q_i^{(2)} - 1, \quad a_i^{(q_i^{(2)}+2)} = i + q_i^{(2)}, \quad \dots, \quad a_i^{(q_i^{(3)})} = i + q_i^{(3)} - 2,\\
&\cdots\cdots\cdots

Lemma 6.13. *For a path p (6.7), we set $q_i^{(j)}$ and $k_i^{(j)}$ as in (6.8) and (6.9). Then we have*

$$Q(p) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \prod_{j=1}^{m-m'} \overline{C}\big(m - q_i^{(j)} - 1, k_i^{(j)}\big). \tag{6.17}$$

Proof. Let us recall the definition of $Q(p)$ (Definition 6.4(ii)):

$$Q(p) = \prod_{s=0}^{m-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\tau_{l_{m-s-1}+a_i^{(s+1)}-1}}{\tau_{l_{m-s-1}+a_i^{(s)}}} \right). \tag{6.18}$$

For each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$, the i-sequence

$$i = a_i^{(0)} \le a_i^{(1)} \le a_i^{(2)} \le \cdots \le a_i^{(m)} = m' + i$$

of p satisfies $a_i^{(s+1)} = a_i^{(s)}$ or $a_i^{(s)} + 1$, $0 \le s \le m-1$, by Definition 6.2(iii). If $a_i^{(s+1)} = a_i^{(s)} + 1$, then

$$\frac{\tau_{l_{m-s-1}+a_i^{(s+1)}-1}}{\tau_{l_{m-s-1}+a_i^{(s)}}} = 1.$$

Therefore, it follows from the definition (6.8) of $q_i^{(j)}$ and $k_i^{(j)} := a_i^{(q_i^{(j)})} = a_i^{(q_i^{(j)}+1)}$ that

$$\prod_{s=0}^{m-1} \frac{\tau_{l_{m-s-1}+a_i^{(s+1)}-1}}{\tau_{l_{m-s-1}+a_i^{(s)}}} = \prod_{j=1}^{m-m'} \frac{\tau_{l_{m-q_i^{(j)}-1}+k_i^{(j)}-1}}{\tau_{l_{m-q_i^{(j)}-1}+k_i^{(j)}}} = \prod_{j=1}^{m-m'} \overline{C}\big(m - q_i^{(j)} - 1, k_i^{(j)}\big),$$

which implies (6.17) by (6.18). ∎

Let us describe $\Delta^L(k; \mathbf{i})(\tau)$ explicitly.

Proposition 6.14.

$$\Delta^L(k; \mathbf{i})(\tau) = \sum_{(*)} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \prod_{j=1}^{m-m'} \overline{C}\big(m - K_i^{(j)} - j + i, K_i^{(j)}\big).$$

where $(*)$ is the conditions for $K_i^{(j)}$ $(1 \le i \le d,\ 1 \le j \le m-m')$: $1 \le K_1^{(j)} < K_2^{(j)} < \cdots < K_d^{(j)} \le m' + d$, $1 \le j \le m-m'$, $i \le K_i^{(1)} \le K_i^{(2)} \le \cdots \le K_i^{(m-m')} \le m' + i$, $1 \le i \le d$.

Proof. Using Lemmas 6.12(i) and 6.13, we see that $Q(p)$, $p \in X_d(m, m')$, is described as

$$Q(p) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \prod_{j=1}^{m-m'} \overline{C}\big(m - k_i^{(j)} - j + i, k_i^{(j)}\big).$$

with $\{k_i^{(j)}\}_{1 \le i \le d, 1 \le j \le m-m'}$ which satisfy the conditions in Lemma 6.12(ii), that is, $1 \le k_1^{(j)} < k_2^{(j)} < \cdots < k_d^{(j)} \le m' + d$. Furthermore, Definition 6.2(iii) and (iv) show that $i = a_i^{(0)} \le a_i^{(1)} \le \cdots \le a_i^{(m)} = m' + i$, which means that $i \le k_i^{(1)} \le k_i^{(2)} \le \cdots \le k_i^{(m-m')} \le m' + i$ for $1 \le i \le d$ by $k_i^{(j)} := a_i^{(q_i^{(j)})}$ and $q_i^{(j)} < q_i^{(j+1)}$ (see Definition 6.11). Thus, $\{k_i^{(j)}\}$ satisfies the conditions $(*)$ in Proposition 6.14.

Conversely, let $\{K_i^{(j)}\}_{1\le i\le d, 1\le j\le m-m'}$ the set of numbers which satisfies the conditions $(*)$ in Proposition 6.14:

$$1 \le K_1^{(j)} < K_2^{(j)} < \cdots < K_d^{(j)} \le m' + d, \qquad 1 \le j \le m - m',$$

and

$$i \le K_i^{(1)} \le \cdots \le K_i^{(m-m')} \le m' + i, \qquad 1 \le i \le d. \tag{6.19}$$

We set

$$Q_i^{(j)} := K_i^{(j)} + j - i - 1, \tag{6.20}$$

for $1 \le i \le d$ and $1 \le j \le m - m'$. We need to show that there exists a path $p \in X_d(m, m')$ such that

$$Q(p) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \prod_{j=1}^{m-m'} \overline{C}\big(m - Q_i^{(j)} - 1, K_i^{(j)}\big) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \prod_{j=1}^{m-m'} \overline{C}\big(m - K_i^{(j)} - j + i, K_i^{(j)}\big). \tag{6.21}$$

Since we supposed $K_i^{(j)} < K_{i+1}^{(j)}$, we can easily verify

$$Q_i^{(j)} \le Q_{i+1}^{(j)}, \tag{6.22}$$

by (6.20). We claim that $0 \le Q_i^{(j)} \le m - 1$ for $1 \le i \le d$ and $1 \le j \le m - m'$. By the condition (6.19), we get $i \le K_i^{(j)}$. So it is clear that $0 \le Q_i^{(j)}$. It follows from the condition (6.19) and (6.20) that $Q_i^{(j)} = K_i^{(j)} + j - i - 1 \le m' + i + j - i - 1 = m' + j - 1 \le m - 1$. Therefore, we have $0 \le Q_i^{(j)} \le m - 1$ for all $1 \le i \le d$ and $1 \le j \le m - m'$.

We define a path $p = (m; a_1^{(0)}, \ldots, a_d^{(0)}) \to \cdots \to (0; a_1^{(m)}, \ldots, a_d^{(m)}) \in X_d(m, m')$ as follows. For i, $1 \le i \le d$, we define the i-sequence (Definition 6.6) of p as

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_i^{(0)} = i, \quad a_i^{(1)} = i + 1, \quad a_i^{(2)} = i + 2, \quad \ldots, \quad a_i^{(Q_i^{(1)})} = i + Q_i^{(1)}, \\
&a_i^{(Q_i^{(1)}+1)} = i + Q_i^{(1)}, \quad a_i^{(Q_i^{(1)}+2)} = i + Q_i^{(1)} + 1, \quad \ldots, \quad a_i^{(Q_i^{(2)})} = i + Q_i^{(2)} - 1, \\
&a_i^{(Q_i^{(2)}+1)} = i + Q_i^{(2)} - 1, \quad a_i^{(Q_i^{(2)}+2)} = i + Q_i^{(2)}, \quad \ldots, \quad a_i^{(Q_i^{(3)})} = i + Q_i^{(3)} - 2, \\
&\cdots\cdots\cdots

Example 6.15. We use the same setting in Example 6.9. Since $m = 3$, $m' = 2$ and $d := i_k = 2$, it follows from Proposition 6.14 that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^L(6;\mathbf{i})(\tau) &= \sum_{1\le K_1<K_2\le 4}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{2}\overline{C}(i-K_i+2,K_i)\right)\\ &= \overline{C}(2,1)\overline{C}(2,2)+\overline{C}(2,1)\overline{C}(1,3)+\overline{C}(2,1)\overline{C}(0,4)\\ &\quad+\overline{C}(1,2)\overline{C}(1,3)+\overline{C}(1,2)\overline{C}(0,4)+\overline{C}(0,3)\overline{C}(0,4)\\ &= \frac{1}{\tau_{l_2+2}}+\frac{\tau_{l_1+2}}{\tau_{l_2+1}\tau_{l_1+3}}+\frac{\tau_{l_0+3}}{\tau_{l_2+1}\tau_{l_0+4}}+\frac{\tau_{l_1+1}}{\tau_{l_1+3}}+\frac{\tau_{l_1+1}\tau_{l_0+3}}{\tau_{l_1+2}\tau_{l_0+4}}+\frac{\tau_{l_0+2}}{\tau_{l_0+4}},\end{aligned}$$

which is equal to the one in Example 6.9.

6.4 The completion of the proof of Theorem 5.6

In this subsection, we shall complete the proof of Theorem 5.6.

Let us recall the definition (3.3) of $A_{s,i}$. Since we identify the variables $\{Y_{s,i}\}$ with $\{\tau_{l_s+i}\}$, we have the following (see Remark 3.7)

$$A_{s,i} = \frac{\tau_{l_s+i}\tau_{l_{s+1}+i}}{\tau_{l_s+i+1}\tau_{l_{s+1}+i-1}}, \qquad 0\le s\le m-1, \qquad 1\le i\le r.$$

Therefore, by the definition (6.16) of $\overline{C}(s,i)$, we have

$$\overline{C}(s,i)\cdot A_{s-1,i} = \overline{C}(s-1,i+1). \tag{6.24}$$

Lemma 6.16. *For a path $p\in X_d(m,m')$, we describe the monomial $Q(p)$ as in Lemma 6.13*

$$Q(p) = \prod_{i=1}^{d}\prod_{j=1}^{m-m'}\overline{C}\big(m-K_i^{(j)}-j+i,K_i^{(j)}\big), \tag{6.25}$$

where $\{K_i^{(j)}\}$ satisfies the condition $(*)$ in Proposition 6.14. For s, $1\le s<m'+d$, if $\tilde{e}_sQ(p)\neq 0$, then there exist i and j, $1\le i\le d$, $1\le j\le m-m'$, such that

$$K_i^{(j)} = s \qquad \text{and} \qquad \tilde{e}_sQ(p) = Q(p)\cdot A_{m-K_i^{(j)}-j+i-1,s}. \tag{6.26}$$

Furthermore, there exists a path $p'\in X_d(m,m')$ such that

$$\tilde{e}_sQ(p) = Q(p'). \tag{6.27}$$

Proof. We suppose that the monomial $Q(p)$ does not include factor as in the form $\tau_{l_t+s}^{-1}$, $0\le t\le m-1$, which means $\mathrm{wt}(Q(p))(h_i) = \varphi_i(Q(p))$. Hence $\varepsilon_i(Q(p)) := \varphi_i(Q(p)) - \mathrm{wt}(Q(p))(h_i) = 0$, which contradicts the assumption $\tilde{e}_sQ(p)\neq 0$. Hence the monomial $Q(p)$ includes factor $\tau_{l_t+s}^{-1}$, $0\le t\le m-1$. We set the numbers $0\le t_1<t_2<\cdots<t_\xi\le m-1$ by

$$\{t_1,t_2,\dots,t_\xi\} := \big\{t\,|\,Q(p)\text{ includes factors }\tau_{l_t+s}^{-1}\big\}, \qquad 1\le\xi.$$

The definition (6.16) of $\overline{C}(t,s)$ and (6.25) show that there exist $1\le i_1,\dots,i_\xi\le d$ and $1\le j_1,\dots,j_\xi\le m-m'$ such that

$$K_{i_a}^{(j_a)} = s \qquad \text{and} \qquad t_a = m-K_{i_a}^{(j_a)}-j_a+i_a, \qquad a=1,2,\dots,\xi. \tag{6.28}$$

As in Example 3.9, for a given $s \in I$ and monomial $Y = \prod_{q\in\mathbb{Z},\ i\in I} \tau_{l_q+i}^{\zeta_{q,i}}$, we define $\nu_Y(n) := \sum_{q\le n} \zeta_{q,s}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We set $Y := Q(p)$. We claim that $n_{e_s} \in \{t_1 - 1, \dots, t_\xi - 1\}$ (3.4), otherwise, we get $n_{e_s} \notin \{t_1 - 1, \dots, t_\xi - 1\}$. Then $\varphi_s(Y) = \nu_Y(n_{e_s}) \le \nu_Y(n_{e_s} + 1)$. If $\nu_Y(n_{e_s}) < \nu_Y(n_{e_s} + 1)$, then it contradicts the definition of

$$\varphi_s(Y) := \max\{\nu_Y(n) \,|\, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \tag{6.29}$$

If $\nu_Y(n_{e_s}) = \nu_Y(n_{e_s} + 1)$, then it contradicts the definition of $n_{e_s} := \max\{n \,|\, \varphi_s(Y) = \nu_Y(n)\}$. Hence we obtain $n_{e_s} \in \{t_1 - 1, \dots, t_\xi - 1\}$, which implies there exists a, $1 \le a \le \xi$, such that $n_{e_s} = t_a - 1$. By (6.28), we have $n_{e_s} = t_a - 1 = m - K_{i_a}^{(j_a)} - j_a + i_a - 1$. Therefore, we obtain $\tilde{e}_s Y := Y \cdot A_{n_{e_s},s} = Y \cdot A_{m-K_{i_a}^{(j_a)}-j_a+i_a-1,s}$.

Since $Q(p)$ includes the factor $\tau_{l_{t_a}+s}^{-1}$, the path p includes the following edge

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(t_a + 1; a_1^{(m-t_a-1)}, \cdots, a_{q-1}^{(m-t_a-1)}, \underset{q^{\text{th}}}{s}, a_{q+1}^{(m-t_a-1)}, \cdots, a_d^{(m-t_a-1)}\big)\\
&\quad \to \big(t_a; a_1^{(m-t_a)}, \cdots, a_{q-1}^{(m-t_a)}, \underset{q^{\text{th}}}{s}, a_{q+1}^{(m-t_a)}, \cdots, a_d^{(m-t_a)}\big)\\
&\quad \to \big(t_a - 1; a_1^{(m-t_a+1)}, \cdots, a_{q-1}^{(m-t_a+1)}, a_q^{(m-t_a+1)}, a_{q+1}^{(m-t_a+1)}, \cdots, a_d^{(m-t_a+1)}\big)
\end{aligned}$$

for some $1 \le q \le d$. We claim that $a_{q+1}^{(m-t_a)} > s + 1$, otherwise $a_{q+1}^{(m-t_a)} = s + 1$ and $a_{q+1}^{(m-t_a-1)} = s + 1$ by Definition 6.2(ii) and (iii), which implies that $Q(p)$ does not include the factor $\tau_{l_{t_a}+s}^{-1}$ by Definition 6.4(i). It contradicts the definition of t_a. So we get

$$a_{q+1}^{(m-t_a)} > s + 1. \tag{6.30}$$

If $t_a = 0$, then Definition 6.2(iv) means that $s = m' + q$ (by the assumption $s < m' + d$, we get $q < d$), and $a_{q+1}^{(m-t_a)} = m' + q + 1 = s + 1$, which contradicts (6.30). So we have $1 \le t_a$. We also claim that $a_q^{(m-t_a+1)} > s$, otherwise $a_q^{(m-t_a+1)} = s$, which implies that $Q(p)$ includes the factor $\tau_{l_{t_a-1}+s}^{-1}$ by $a_{q+1}^{(m-t_a+1)} \ge a_{q+1}^{(m-t_a)} > s + 1$. Therefore, $\varphi_s(Y) = \nu_Y(n_{e_s}) = \nu_Y(t_a - 1) < \nu_Y(t_a - 2)$, which contradicts (6.29). So we get $a_q^{(m-t_a+1)} > s$, which means

$$a_q^{(m-t_a+1)} = s + 1, \tag{6.31}$$

by Definition 6.2(iii).

Let $p' \in X_d(m, m')$ be the path obtained from p by replacing the vertex

$$\big(t_a; a_1^{(m-t_a)}, \dots, a_{q-1}^{(m-t_a)}, \underset{q^{\text{th}}}{s}, a_{q+1}^{(m-t_a)}, \dots, a_d^{(m-t_a)}\big)$$

by

$$\big(t_a; a_1^{(m-t_a)}, \dots, a_{q-1}^{(m-t_a)}, \underset{q^{\text{th}}}{s+1}, a_{q+1}^{(m-t_a)}, \dots, a_d^{(m-t_a)}\big).$$

By (6.30) and (6.31), the path p' is well-defined. Definition 6.4(i) shows that

$$Q(p') = Q(p) \cdot \frac{\tau_{l_{t_a-1}+s}\tau_{l_{t_a}+s}}{\tau_{l_{t_a-1}+s+1}\tau_{l_{t_a}+s-1}} = Q(p) \cdot A_{m-K_{i_a}^{(j_a)}-j_a+i_a-1,s} = \tilde{e}_s Q(p)$$

by (6.28). ∎

Next lemma shows that the coefficients $c(b)$ in Theorem 5.6 are equal to 1 for all $b \in B^-_{u_{\le k}}((m'-m)\Lambda_d)$ by Proposition 6.8.

Lemma 6.17. *For paths $p, p' \in X_d(m,m')$, if $p \neq p'$ then $Q(p) \neq Q(p')$.*

Proof. We suppose that $Q(p) = Q(p')$. Let us prove $p = p'$. We denote p and p' by

$$p = \big(m; a_1^{(0)}, \dots, a_d^{(0)}\big) \to \cdots \to \big(0; a_1^{(m)}, \dots, a_d^{(m)}\big)$$

and

$$p' = \big(m; b_1^{(0)}, \dots, b_d^{(0)}\big) \to \cdots \to \big(0; b_1^{(m)}, \dots, b_d^{(m)}\big).$$

Since $Q(p)$ is the product of the labels

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\tau_{l_{m-s-1}+a_i^{(s+1)}-1}}{\tau_{l_{m-s-1}+a_i^{(s)}}}$$

of the edges $(m-s; a_1^{(s)}, \dots, a_d^{(s)}) \to (m-s-1; a_1^{(s+1)}, \dots, a_d^{(s+1)})$, $0 \le s \le m-1$, the assumption $Q(p) = Q(p')$ means that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\tau_{l_{m-s-1}+a_i^{(s+1)}-1}}{\tau_{l_{m-s-1}+a_i^{(s)}}} = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\tau_{l_{m-s-1}+b_i^{(s+1)}-1}}{\tau_{l_{m-s-1}+b_i^{(s)}}} \tag{6.32}$$

for all $0 \le s \le m-1$. For $s = 0$, by Definition 6.2(iv), we get $a_i^{(0)} = b_i^{(0)} = i$, $1 \le i \le d$. It follows from $a_1^{(1)} < a_2^{(1)} < \cdots < a_d^{(1)}$, $b_1^{(1)} < b_2^{(1)} < \cdots < b_d^{(1)}$ and (6.32) for $s = 0$ that $a_i^{(1)} = b_i^{(1)}$, $1 \le i \le d$. Repeating this argument for $s = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m-1$, we get $a_i^{(s)} = b_i^{(s)}$, $1 \le i \le d$, which means that $p = p'$. ■

Proof of Theorem 5.6. We set

$$\mathbb{B} := \{Q(p) \,|\, p \in X_d(m,m')\} = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{d} \prod_{j=1}^{m-m'} \overline{C}\big(R_i^{(j)}, K_i^{(j)}\big) \,\middle|\, R_i^{(j)} := m - K_i^{(j)} - j + i \right\},$$

where $\{K_i^{(j)}\}$ satisfies the conditions

$$1 \le K_1^{(j)} < K_2^{(j)} < \cdots < K_d^{(j)} \le m' + d, \qquad 1 \le j \le m - m',$$
$$i \le K_i^{(1)} \le \cdots \le K_i^{(m-m')} \le m' + i, \qquad 1 \le i \le d.$$

By Proposition 6.14 and Lemma 6.17, we need to show that

$$\mathbb{B} = \mu_Y(B^-_{u_{\le k}}((m'-m)\Lambda_d)), \tag{6.33}$$

where $\mu_Y(x)$ is an embedding of $x \in B^-_{u_{\le k}}((m'-m)\Lambda_d)$ in Theorem 5.6, and

$$Y := \frac{1}{\tau_{l_{m-1}+d}\tau_{l_{m-2}+d}\cdots\tau_{l_{m'}+d}}, \tag{6.34}$$

which is the lowest weight vector in $\mu_Y(B^-_{u_{\le k}}((m'-m)\Lambda_d))$ (Theorem 3.2(ii)).

First, let us prove the inclusion $\mu_Y(B^-_{u_{\le k}}((m'-m)\Lambda_d)) \subset \mathbb{B}$. Using $\overline{C}(a,b)$, the monomial Y in (6.34) is described as follows

$$Y = \begin{array}{c} \overline{C}(m-1,1)\cdot\overline{C}(m-1,2)\cdots\overline{C}(m-1,d) \\ \cdot\ \overline{C}(m-2,1)\cdot\overline{C}(m-2,2)\cdots\overline{C}(m-2,d) \\ \quad\vdots\qquad\quad\vdots\qquad\qquad\vdots \\ \cdot\ \overline{C}(m',1)\quad\cdot\quad\overline{C}(m',2)\quad\cdots\quad\overline{C}(m',d). \end{array}$$

Thus, we see that $Y \in \mathbb{B}$. It follows from Theorem 3.12 and the definition (4.3) of $u_{\le k}$ that

$$\mu_Y(B^-_{u_{\le k}}((m'-m)\Lambda_d)) = \{ \underbrace{\tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(m'))}\cdots\tilde{e}_r^{(N_r(m'))}}_{1^{\text{st}}\text{ cycle}} \underbrace{\tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(m'-1))}\cdots\tilde{e}_{r-1}^{(N_{r-1}(m'-1))}}_{2^{\text{nd}}\text{ cycle}}$$
$$\cdots \underbrace{\tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(2))}\cdots\tilde{e}_{r-m+2}^{(N_{r-m+2}(2))}}_{(m'-1)^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}} \underbrace{\tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(1))}\cdots\tilde{e}_d^{(N_d(1))}}_{m'^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}} \cdot Y \mid N_i(j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}\} \setminus \{0\}.$$

By (6.27), for any monomial $Z \in \mathbb{B}$ and $1 \le s < m'+d$, we have

$$\tilde{e}_s Z \in \mathbb{B} \cup \{0\}. \tag{6.35}$$

For an arbitrary set $\{N_i(1)\}_{i=1,\dots,d}$ of non negative integers, the monomial $\tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(1))}\cdots\tilde{e}_d^{(N_d(1))}\cdot Y$ does not include factors in the form $\overline{C}(*,a)$, $d+2 \le a \le r-m+2$, by (6.24) and (6.26). Therefore, $\tilde{e}_a \cdot \tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(1))}\cdots\tilde{e}_d^{(N_d(1))}\cdot Y = 0$ for $d+2 \le a \le r-m+2$ by (6.26). Similarly, for an arbitrary set $\{N_i(2)\}_{i=1,\dots,r-m+2}$ of non negative integers, the monomial $\tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(2))}\cdots\tilde{e}_{r-m+2}^{(N_{r-m+2}(2))}\tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(1))}\cdots\tilde{e}_d^{(N_d(1))}\cdot Y$ does not include factors in the form $\overline{C}(*,a)$, $d+3 \le a \le r-m+3$, which means that $\tilde{e}_a \cdot \tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(2))}\cdots\tilde{e}_{r-m+2}^{(N_{r-m+2}(2))}\tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(1))}\cdots\tilde{e}_d^{(N_d(1))}\cdot Y = 0$.

Repeating this argument, we obtain

$$\mu_Y(B^-_{u_{\le k}}((m'-m)\Lambda_d)) = \{ \underbrace{\tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(m'))}\cdots\tilde{e}_{m'+d-1}^{(N_{m'+d-1}(m'))}}_{1^{\text{st}}\text{ cycle}} \underbrace{\tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(m'-1))}\cdots\tilde{e}_{m'+d-2}^{(N_{m'+d-2}(m'-1))}}_{2^{\text{nd}}\text{ cycle}}$$
$$\cdots \underbrace{\tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(2))}\cdots\tilde{e}_{d+1}^{(N_{d+1}(2))}}_{(m'-1)^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}} \underbrace{\tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(1))}\cdots\tilde{e}_d^{(N_d(1))}}_{m'^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}} \cdot Y \mid N_i(j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}\} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Therefore, we get $\mu_Y(B^-_{u_{\le k}}((m'-m)\Lambda_d)) \subset \mathbb{B}$ by (6.35).

Next, we shall prove $\mathbb{B} \subset \mu_Y(B^-_{u_{\le k}}((m'-m)\Lambda_d))$. For this, we take an arbitrary element

$$M := \prod_{i=1}^{d}\prod_{j=1}^{m-m'} \overline{C}(R_i^{(j)}, K_i^{(j)}) \in \mathbb{B}.$$

We need to show that there exists a set $\{N_i(s)\}$ of non negative integers such that

$$\underbrace{\tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(m'))}\cdots\tilde{e}_{m'+d-1}^{(N_{m'+d-1}(m'))}}_{1^{\text{st}}\text{ cycle}} \underbrace{\tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(m'-1))}\cdots\tilde{e}_{m'+d-2}^{(N_{m'+d-2}(m'-1))}}_{2^{\text{nd}}\text{ cycle}}$$
$$\cdots \underbrace{\tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(2))}\cdots\tilde{e}_{d+1}^{(N_{d+1}(2))}}_{(m'-1)^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}} \underbrace{\tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(1))}\cdots\tilde{e}_d^{(N_d(1))}}_{m'^{\text{th}}\text{ cycle}} \cdot Y = M. \tag{6.36}$$

We set $\{N_i(s)\}$ as follows (see Example 6.18). First, we set $\{N_i(1)\}_{i=1,2,\dots,d}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
&N_d(1) := \#\bigl\{1 \le j \le m-m' \,|\, K_d^{(j)} - d = m'\bigr\},\\
&N_{d-1}(1) := \#\bigl\{1 \le j \le m-m' \,|\, K_{d-1}^{(j)} - (d-1) = m'\bigr\},\\
&N_{d-2}(1) := \#\bigl\{1 \le j \le m-m' \,|\, K_{d-2}^{(j)} - (d-2) = m'\bigr\},\\
&\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\\
&N_1(1) := \#\bigl\{1 \le j \le m-m' \,|\, K_1^{(j)} - 1 = m'\bigr\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that since $K_1^{(j)} < \cdots < K_d^{(j)}$, we have $N_1(1) < \cdots < N_d(1)$. As seen in Example 3.9, by applying $\tilde{e}_d^{(N_d(1))}$ to Y, the factors $\overline{C}(m',d), \overline{C}(m'+1,d), \dots, \overline{C}(m'+N_d(1)-1,d)$ in Y turn out $\overline{C}(m'-1,d+1), \overline{C}(m',d+1), \dots, \overline{C}(m'+N_d(1)-2,d+1)$ by Remark 3.4, (6.24) and (6.26). Then the monomial $\tilde{e}_d^{(N_d(1))} \cdot Y$ does not include factors in the form τ_{l_q+d-1}, $0 \le q \le m-1$. Thus, we can use Example 3.9 again when we apply $\tilde{e}_{d-1}^{(N_{d-1}(1))}$ to $(\tilde{e}_d^{(N_d(1))} \cdot Y)$. Then the factors $\overline{C}(m',d-1), \overline{C}(m'+1,d-1), \dots, \overline{C}(m'+N_{d-1}(1)-1,d-1)$ in $(\tilde{e}_d^{(N_d(1))} \cdot Y)$ turn out $\overline{C}(m'-1,d)$, $\overline{C}(m',d), \dots, \overline{C}(m'+N_{d-1}(1)-2,d)$, and the monomial $\tilde{e}_{d-1}^{(N_{d-1}(1))}\tilde{e}_d^{(N_d(1))} \cdot Y$ does not include factors in the form τ_{l_q+d-2}, $0 \le q \le m-1$. After all, by using Example 3.9 repeatedly, we see that the monomial $\tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(1))} \cdots \tilde{e}_{d-1}^{(N_{d-1}(1))}\tilde{e}_d^{(N_d(1))} \cdot Y$ is obtained from Y by replacing $\overline{C}(m',\zeta)$, $\overline{C}(m'+1,\zeta), \dots, \overline{C}(m'+N_\zeta(1)-1,\zeta)$ by $\overline{C}(m'-1,\zeta+1), \overline{C}(m',\zeta+1), \dots, \overline{C}(m'+N_\zeta(1)-2,\zeta+1)$, $1 \le \zeta \le d$.

We set $\{N_i(s)\}_{2\le s\le m',\ i=1,2,\dots,d+s-1}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
&N_{d+1}(2) := N_d(1),\\
&N_d(2) := N_{d-1}(1) + \#\bigl\{1 \le j \le m-m' \,|\, K_d^{(j)} - d = m'-1\bigr\},\\
&N_{d-1}(2) := N_{d-2}(1) + \#\bigl\{1 \le j \le m-m' \,|\, K_{d-1}^{(j)} - (d-1) = m'-1\bigr\},\\
&\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\\
&N_2(2) := N_1(1) + \#\bigl\{1 \le j \le m-m' \,|\, K_2^{(j)} - 2 = m'-1\bigr\},\\
&N_1(2) := \#\bigl\{1 \le j \le m-m' \,|\, K_1^{(j)} - 1 = m'-1\bigr\},\\
&N_{d+2}(3) := N_{d+1}(2),\\
&N_{d+1}(3) := N_d(2),\\
&N_d(3) := N_{d-1}(2) + \#\bigl\{1 \le j \le m-m' \,|\, K_d^{(j)} - d = m'-2\bigr\},\\
&N_{d-1}(3) := N_{d-2}(2) + \#\bigl\{1 \le j \le m-m' \,|\, K_{d-1}^{(j)} - (d-1) = m'-2\bigr\},\\
&\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\\
&N_2(3) := N_1(2) + \#\bigl\{1 \le j \le m-m' \,|\, K_2^{(j)} - 2 = m'-2\bigr\},\\
&N_1(3) := \#\bigl\{1 \le j \le m-m' \,|\, K_1^{(j)} - 1 = m'-2\bigr\},\\
&\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\\
&N_{m'+d-1}(m') := N_{m'+d-2}(m'-1),\\
&N_{m'+d-2}(m') := N_{m'+d-3}(m'-1),\\
&\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\\
&N_{d+1}(m') := N_d(m'-1),\\
&N_d(m') := N_{d-1}(m'-1) + \#\bigl\{1 \le j \le m-m' \,|\, K_d^{(j)} - d = 1\bigr\},\\
&\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots
\end{aligned}$$

$$N_2(m') := N_1(m'-1) + \#\{1 \le j \le m-m' \mid K_2^{(j)} - 2 = 1\},$$
$$N_1(m') := \#\{1 \le j \le m-m' \mid K_1^{(j)} - 1 = 1\}.$$

For example, if $K_d^{(m-m')} - d = m'$, then the factor $\overline{C}(m', d)$ in Y is acted by $\tilde{e}_{m'+d-1} \cdots \tilde{e}_{d+2}\tilde{e}_{d+1}\tilde{e}_d$ since $N_{m'+d-1}(m') = N_{m'+d-2}(m'-1) = N_{m'+d-3}(m'-2) = \cdots = N_d(1)$, and we obtain

$$\tilde{e}_{m'+d-1} \cdots \tilde{e}_{d+2}\tilde{e}_{d+1}\tilde{e}_d \cdot \overline{C}(m', d) = \overline{C}(0, m'+d) = \overline{C}\big(R_d^{(m-m')}, K_d^{(m-m')}\big),$$

by (6.24) and (6.26). In general, if $K_i^{(j)} - i = m' - \zeta$, $0 \le \zeta \le m'-1$, then the factor $\overline{C}(m-j, i)$ in Y is acted by $\tilde{e}_{m'-\zeta+i-1} \cdots \tilde{e}_{i+2}\tilde{e}_{i+1}\tilde{e}_i$, and we obtain

$$\tilde{e}_{m'-\zeta+i-1} \cdots \tilde{e}_{i+2}\tilde{e}_{i+1}\tilde{e}_i\overline{C}(m-j, i) = \overline{C}(m-m'-j+\zeta, m'-\zeta+i) = \overline{C}(R_i^{(j)}, K_i^{(j)}),$$

which means (6.36). Therefore, we get (6.33). ■

Example 6.18. We set $r = 9$, $m = 6$, $m' = 3$ and $d = 4$. Let us see that we can obtain

$$M := \begin{matrix} \overline{C}(6,1) \cdot \overline{C}(6,2) \cdot \overline{C}(5,4) \cdot \overline{C}(4,6) \\ \overline{C}(4,2) \cdot \overline{C}(4,3) \cdot \overline{C}(4,4) \cdot \overline{C}(3,6) \\ \overline{C}(1,4) \cdot \overline{C}(1,5) \cdot \overline{C}(1,6) \cdot \overline{C}(1,7) \end{matrix}$$

from

$$Y := \begin{matrix} \overline{C}(6,1) \cdot \overline{C}(6,2) \cdot \overline{C}(6,3) \cdot \overline{C}(6,4) \\ \overline{C}(5,1) \cdot \overline{C}(5,2) \cdot \overline{C}(5,3) \cdot \overline{C}(5,4) \\ \overline{C}(4,1) \cdot \overline{C}(4,2) \cdot \overline{C}(4,3) \cdot \overline{C}(4,4). \end{matrix}$$

by applying $\{\tilde{e}_i^{N_i(s)}\}$ in the proof of Theorem 5.6, that is

$$\underbrace{\tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(3))}\tilde{e}_2^{(N_2(3))}\tilde{e}_3^{(N_3(3))}\tilde{e}_4^{(N_4(3))}\tilde{e}_5^{(N_5(3))}\tilde{e}_6^{(N_6(3))}}_{3^{\text{rd}}\text{ cycle}} \cdot \underbrace{\tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(2))}\tilde{e}_2^{(N_2(2))}\tilde{e}_3^{(N_3(2))}\tilde{e}_4^{(N_4(2))}\tilde{e}_5^{(N_5(2))}}_{2^{\text{nd}}\text{ cycle}}$$
$$\cdot \underbrace{\tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(1))}\tilde{e}_2^{(N_2(1))}\tilde{e}_3^{(N_3(1))}\tilde{e}_4^{(N_4(1))}}_{1^{\text{st}}\text{ cycle}} \cdot Y = M. \tag{6.37}$$

We set $M = \prod_{j=1}^{3}\prod_{i=1}^{4} \overline{C}(R_i^{(j)}, K_i^{(j)})$, that is, $K_1^{(1)} = 1$, $K_2^{(1)} = 2$, $K_3^{(1)} = 4$, $K_4^{(1)} = 6$, $K_1^{(2)} = 2$, $K_2^{(2)} = 3, \ldots, K_4^{(3)} = 7$.

To change the factor $\overline{C}(4,4)$ of Y into $\overline{C}(1,7)$ of M, we need to apply $\tilde{e}_6\tilde{e}_5\tilde{e}_4$ to $\overline{C}(4,4)$. In contrast, to change the factors $\overline{C}(5,4)$ and $\overline{C}(6,4)$ of Y into $\overline{C}(3,6)$ and $\overline{C}(4,6)$, we need to apply $\tilde{e}_5\tilde{e}_4$ to $\overline{C}(5,4)$ and $\overline{C}(6,4)$. Thus, $\overline{C}(4,4)$ must be changed to $\overline{C}(3,5)$ by the action of $\tilde{e}_4^{(N_4(1))}$ in first cycle, and $\overline{C}(5,4)$, $\overline{C}(6,4)$ do not have to be changed at the first cycle. So we set $N_4(1) := 1 = \#\{j \mid K_4^{(j)} - 4 = 3\}$. Similarly, we set $N_3(1) = N_2(1) = N_1(1) = 1$, and the factors $\overline{C}(4,1)$, $\overline{C}(4,2)$, $\overline{C}(4,3)$ of Y is changed to $\overline{C}(3,2)$, $\overline{C}(3,3)$, $\overline{C}(3,4)$ by the action of first cycle.

Next, to obtain M, the factor $\overline{C}(3,5)$ in $\tilde{e}_1^{(N_1(1))}\tilde{e}_2^{(N_2(1))}\tilde{e}_3^{(N_3(1))}\tilde{e}_4^{(N_4(1))} \cdot Y$ must be changed to $\overline{C}(2,6)$ at the second cycle. Thus, we set $N_5(2) := 1 = N_4(1)$. The factor $\overline{C}(3,4)$ must be changed to $\overline{C}(2,5)$ by the action of $\tilde{e}_4^{(N_4(2))}$ in second cycle, and the factors $\overline{C}(5,4)$ and $\overline{C}(6,4)$ must also be changed at the second cycle. Hence, we set $N_4(2) := 3 = N_3(1) + \#\{j \mid K_4^{(j)} - 4 = 2\}$. Similarly, we set $N_3(2) = 1$, $N_2(2) = 1$, $N_1(2) = 0$, $N_6(3) = 1$, $N_5(3) = 3$, $N_4(3) = 1$, $N_3(3) = 3$, $N_2(3) = 1$ and $N_1(3) = 1$. Then we get (6.37).

Example 6.19. We use the same setting in Example 6.15: $u = s_1s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2s_3s_1s_2s_1$, $k = 6$, $m = 3$ and $m' = 2$. We have $u_{\le 6} = s_1s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2$. Let

$$Y := \frac{1}{\tau_{l_2+2}} \in \mathcal{Y},$$

which has weight $-\Lambda_2$. By Theorem 5.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^L(6;\mathbf{i})(\tau) &= \sum_{x \in B^-_{u_{\le 6}}(-\Lambda_2)} \mu_Y(x) = Y + \tilde{e}_2Y + \tilde{e}_1\tilde{e}_2Y + \tilde{e}_3\tilde{e}_2Y + \tilde{e}_3\tilde{e}_1\tilde{e}_2Y + \tilde{e}_2\tilde{e}_3\tilde{e}_1\tilde{e}_2Y \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau_{l_2+2}} + \frac{\tau_{l_1+2}}{\tau_{l_2+1}\tau_{l_1+3}} + \frac{\tau_{l_1+1}}{\tau_{l_1+3}} + \frac{\tau_{l_0+3}}{\tau_{l_2+1}\tau_{l_0+4}} + \frac{\tau_{l_1+1}\tau_{l_0+3}}{\tau_{l_1+2}\tau_{l_0+4}} + \frac{\tau_{l_0+2}}{\tau_{l_0+4}}.\end{aligned}$$

6.5 The proof of Theorem 5.7

Let us prove Theorem 5.7. Suppose that $i_k = d = 1$.

Proof of Theorem 5.7. By Proposition 6.8, we have

$$\Delta^L(k;\mathbf{i})(\tau) = \sum_{p \in X_1(m,m')} Q(p). \tag{6.38}$$

The set $X_1(m,m')$ consists of paths p as in the form

$$p = (m,1) \to \big(m-1, a^{(1)}\big) \to \big(m-2, a^{(2)}\big) \to \cdots \to \big(1, a^{(m-1)}\big) \to (0, m'+1)$$

such that $a^{(s+1)} = a^{(s)}$ or $a^{(s)}+1$, $0 \le s \le m-1$. Here, $a^{(0)} := 1$, $a^{(m)} := m'+1$.

By Lemma 6.10, we obtain

$$\#\big\{s \,|\, a^{(s+1)} = a^{(s)} + 1,\ 0 \le s \le m-1\big\} = m'.$$

Set $\{s \,|\, a^{(s+1)} = a^{(s)}+1,\ 0 \le s \le m-1\} := \{j_1, \ldots, j_{m'}\}$, $0 \le j_1 < \cdots < j_{m'} \le m-1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&a^{(0)} = 1, \quad a^{(1)} = 1, \quad a^{(2)} = 1, \quad \ldots, \quad a^{(j_1)} = 1, \quad a^{(j_1+1)} = 2, \\
&a^{(j_1+2)} = 2, \quad a^{(j_1+3)} = 2, \quad \ldots, \quad a^{(j_2)} = 2, \quad a^{(j_2+1)} = 3, \\
&a^{(j_2+2)} = 3, \quad a^{(j_2+3)} = 3, \quad \ldots, \quad a^{(j_3)} = 3, \quad a^{(j_3+1)} = 4, \\
&\cdots\cdots\cdots

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the referees for giving them relevant advice and suggestion to improve this article. T.N. is supported in part by JSPS Grants in Aid for Scientific Research ♯22540031, ♯15K04794.

References

[1] Berenstein A., Fomin S., Zelevinsky A., Cluster algebras. III. Upper bounds and double Bruhat cells, *Duke Math. J.* **126** (2005), 1–52, math.RT/0305434.

[2] Berenstein A., Zelevinsky A., Tensor product multiplicities, canonical bases and totally positive varieties, *Invent. Math.* **143** (2001), 77–128, math.RT/9912012.

[3] Fomin S., Zelevinsky A., Double Bruhat cells and total positivity, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12** (1999), 335–380, math.RT/9802056.

[4] Gekhtman M., Shapiro M., Vainshtein A., Cluster algebras and Poisson geometry, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, Vol. 167, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.

[5] Hong J., Kang S.-J., Introduction to quantum groups and crystal bases, *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, Vol. 42, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

[6] Kashiwara M., On crystal bases of the Q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **63** (1991), 465–516.

[7] Kashiwara M., Bases cristallines des groupes quantiques, *Cours Spécialisés*, Vol. 9, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2002.

[8] Kashiwara M., Realizations of crystals, in Combinatorial and Geometric Representation Theory (Seoul, 2001), *Contemp. Math.*, Vol. 325, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, 133–139, math.QA/0202268.

[9] Kashiwara M., Nakashima T., Crystal graphs for representations of the q-analogue of classical Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* **165** (1994), 295–345.

[10] Nakajima H., t-analogs of q-characters of quantum affine algebras of type A_n, D_n, in Combinatorial and Geometric Representation Theory (Seoul, 2001), *Contemp. Math.*, Vol. 325, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, 141–160, math.QA/0204184.

[11] Nakashima T., Decorations on geometric crystals and monomial realizations of crystal bases for classical groups, *J. Algebra* **399** (2014), 712–769, arXiv:1301.7301.